OPEN

Clinical Practice Guidelines for Pain Management in Acute Musculoskeletal Injury

Joseph R. Hsu, MD,* Hassan Mir, MD,† Meghan K. Wally, MSPH,* and Rachel B. Seymour, PhD,* the Orthopaedic Trauma Association Musculoskeletal Pain Task Force

Purpose: We aimed to produce comprehensive guidelines and recommendations that can be utilized by orthopaedic practices as well as other specialties to improve the management of acute pain following musculoskeletal injury.

Methods: A panel of 15 members with expertise in orthopaedic trauma, pain management, or both was convened to review the literature and develop recommendations on acute musculoskeletal pain management. The methods described by the Grading of Recommendations Assessment, Development, and Evaluation Working Group were applied to each recommendation. The guideline was submitted to the Orthopaedic Trauma Association (OTA) for review and was approved on October 16, 2018.

- From the *Department of Orthopaedic Surgery, Atrium Health Musculoskeletal Institute, Charlotte, NC; †Florida Orthopaedic Institute, University of South Florida, Tampa, FL.
- D. Ring reports royalties from Skeletal Dynamics and Wright Medical, Deputy Editor for Clinical Orthopaedics and Related Research and Journal of Orthopaedic Trauma, and expert testimony. K. R. Archer reports APTA, Palladian Health, Pacira, and NeuroPoint Alliance, Inc. C. Mamczak reports consulting from Smith & Nephew, speakers bureau for Smith & Nephew and AO North America, publishing royalties for Springer-Verlag and Lippincott, and Journal Review for Journal of Orthopaedic Trauma. T. Higgins reports consulting from DePuy Synthes and Imagen and stock ownership in SMV Holdings, OrthoGrid, and NT nPhase. B. Attum reports consulting for Synthes and research support from Arthrex. E. Harvey reports Editor in Chief of Canadian Journal of Surgery, Orthopaedic Trauma Association Basic Science Focus Forum Supplement, Editorial Board of OTA International, CMO of Greybox Solutions, Co-Founder Head of Medical Innovation for NXTSens Inc, Co-Founder CMO Chairman of Board of Directors of MY01 Inc., and Medical Device Advisor for Wavelite Inc. E. Harvey receives institutional support from J & J (Depuy Synthes) and Stryker and is a board/committee member of the Orthopaedic Trauma Association, Canadian Orthopaedic Association, and CIHR-IAB. J. Lowe reports consulting for Stryker. The remaining authors report no conflict of interest.
- Members of the Orthopaedic Trauma Association Musculoskeletal Pain Task Force are listed in Appendix 1.
- Supplemental digital content is available for this article. Direct URL citations appear in the printed text and are provided in the HTML and PDF versions of this article on the journal's Web site (www.jorthotrauma. com).
- Reprints: Joseph R. Hsu, MD, 1025 Morehead Medical Drive Suite 300, Charlotte, NC 28204 (e-mail: Joseph.Hsu@atriumhealth.org).
- Copyright © 2019 The Author(s). Published by Wolters Kluwer Health, Inc. This is an open-access article distributed under the terms of the Creative Commons Attribution-Non Commercial-No Derivatives License 4. 0 (CCBY-NC-ND), where it is permissible to download and share the work provided it is properly cited. The work cannot be changed in any way or used commercially without permission from the journal.

DOI: 10.1097/BOT.000000000001430

Results: We present evidence-based best practice recommendations and pain medication recommendations with the hope that they can be utilized by orthopaedic practices as well as other specialties to improve the management of acute pain following musculoskeletal injury. Recommendations are presented regarding pain management, cognitive strategies, physical strategies, strategies for patients on long term opioids at presentation, and system implementation strategies. We recommend the use of multimodal analgesia, prescribing the lowest effective immediate-release opioid for the shortest period possible, and considering regional anesthesia. We also recommend connecting patients to psychosocial interventions as indicated and considering anxiety reduction strategies such as aromatherapy. Finally, we also recommend physical strategies including ice, elevation, and transcutaneous electrical stimulation. Prescribing for patients on long term opioids at presentation should be limited to one prescriber. Both pain and sedation should be assessed regularly for inpatients with short, validated tools. Finally, the group supports querying the relevant regional and state prescription drug monitoring program, development of clinical decision support, opioid education efforts for prescribers and patients, and implementing a department or organization pain medication prescribing strategy or policy.

Conclusions: Balancing comfort and patient safety following acute musculoskeletal injury is possible when utilizing a true multimodal approach including cognitive, physical, and pharmaceutical strategies. In this guideline, we attempt to provide practical, evidence-based guidance for clinicians in both the operative and non-operative settings to address acute pain from musculoskeletal injury. We also organized and graded the evidence to both support recommendations and identify gap areas for future research.

Key Words: opioid, pain, musculoskeletal, orthopaedic trauma

(J Orthop Trauma 2019;33:e158-e182)

BACKGROUND

Drug overdose deaths have become an epidemic in the United States. In the past 15 years, deaths related to drug overdoses in the United States have tripled, mostly because of the increase in opioid-related deaths.^{1,2} In the same period, almost half a million people have died of prescription drug overdoses.^{1,2} Opioids, including prescription drugs and heroin, are involved in 61% of drug overdose deaths.³ The rate of increase in deaths from commonly prescribed opioids has slowed slightly in the past few years, whereas death rates from the synthetic opioids fentanyl and heroin have increased by 72% and 21%, respectively.³ This epidemic has taken a significant toll on the health of the nation, with emerging findings that opioid-related deaths have

e158 | www.jorthotrauma.com

Accepted for publication December 14, 2018.

led to a 0.21-year reduction in average life expectancy contributing to the overall decrease in life expectancy from 2014 to $2015.^4$

The increase in opioid overdose deaths aligns with a proportional increase in opioid prescribing rates. Opioid prescriptions increased substantially from 2006 to 2012⁵ with a desired focus on treating patient pain. Family medicine physicians overall provide the most opioids of any specialty; however, orthopaedic surgeons prescribe 7.7% of prescriptions despite representing only 2.5% of physicians.⁶ The increase in opioid prescriptions was unfortunately not associated with the anticipated reduction of reported pain among Americans.7 Without an improvement in patient outcomes, these prescriptions are needlessly associated with a high risk of abuse. Adding to the problem of oversupply for needs, many opioids go unused following orthopaedic surgery,^{8,9} creating the possibility of nonmedical usage or diversion. Furthermore, of the patients who receive a first opioid prescription of any duration, 21% progress to receiving more prescriptions episodically and 6% progress to long-term use.¹⁰ Up to half of patients who take opioids for at least 3 months remain on opioids 5 years later and are likely to become lifelong users.¹¹⁻¹³ Therefore, changing prescribing habits has been a high priority.

Because of the increasing recognition of the opioid crisis, several professional societies, health care systems, pharmacies, insurance companies, and governmental organizations have released guidelines and toolkits for the safe prescribing of opioids. Although some of these guidelines address certain aspects of pain from musculoskeletal conditions, many are focused on the management of chronic pain, and unfortunately, few give concrete examples of practical methods and prescribing practices that can be easily implemented when caring for acute musculoskeletal injuries. Thus, we aimed to produce comprehensive guidelines and recommendations that can be used by orthopaedic practices and other specialties to improve the management of acute pain following musculoskeletal injury.

METHODS

Panel and Target Audience

This guideline aims to provide evidence-based recommendations for the management of acute musculoskeletal pain. A panel of 15 members with expertise in orthopaedic trauma, pain management, or both was convened to review the literature and develop recommendations on acute musculoskeletal pain management. Chronic pain is outside the scope of this guideline.

Literature Review

The panel met in person in October 2017 to define the scope of the guideline and identify important topics for inclusion. The topics included cognitive strategies, physical modalities, opioid safety and effectiveness, multimodal pharmaceutical strategies, medical assistance therapy, nonsteroidal anti-inflammatory drugs and fracture healing, nerve/regional/field blocks, pain and sedation assessment strategies, and health care system strategies. One or 2 panel members were assigned to draft recommendations for each topic area. Literature

Category	Recommendations
Pain medication strategies	Use MMA. MMA may include NSAIDs, acetaminophen, gabapentinoids, and immediate-release opioids.
	Prescribe the lowest effective immediate- release opioid dose for the shortest period possible.
	Do not use extended-release opioids.
	Consider local or regional block anesthesia as part of the postoperative multimodal regimen.
Cognitive strategies	Discuss alleviation of pain, expected recovery course, and patient experience at all encounters.
	Connect patients with pain that is greater or more persistent than expected and patients with substantial symptoms of depression, anxiety, or posttraumatic stress or less effective coping strategies (greater catastrophic thinking and lower self-efficacy) to psychosocial interventions and resources.
	Consider using strategies for optimal mindset such as aromatherapy, music therapy, or approaches based on cognitive behavioral therapy.
Physical strategies	Use immobilization, ice, and elevation appropriately.
	Consider the use of TENS units.
	Consider the use of cryotherapy units.
Strategies for patients on long- term opioids at presentation	Use balanced physical, cognitive, and pharmaceutical strategy for alleviation of pain
	Ensure that there is only 1 prescriber by coordinating with APS (or addiction medicine or psychiatry depending on resources) when inpatient and the patient's prescriber when outpatient.
Pain assessment strategies	Assess pain and sedation regularly for inpatients with short validated tools.
System strategies	Query the state and relevant regional PDMP before prescribing opioids.
	Develop and support the implementation of clinical decision support for opioid prescribing in the electronic medical record.
	Support opioid education efforts for prescribers and patients.
	Implement pain medication prescribing strategy or policy.

TABLE 1. Best Practice Recommendations* for Alleviation of

 Acute Pain After Musculoskeletal Injury

*In conjunction with pain medication recommendations and individualized per treating physician discretion according to patient characteristics, local practice preferences, and state law.

searches were conducted through September 2018. Information about each included article is available in the **Supplemental Digital Content 1** (see **Table**, http://links.lww.com/JOT/A648).

Grading Process

The methods described by the Grading of Recommendations Assessment, Development, and Evaluation Working

Status	Opioid	Nonopioid
Inpatient	Oxycodone/acetaminophen 5 mg/325 mg 1 tab po q 4 h PRN moderate pain	Ketorolac 15 mg IV q 6 h \times 5 doses, followed by ibuprofen 600 mg po q 8 h
	5 mg/325 mg 2 tabs po q 6 h PRN severe pain	Gabapentin 100 mg 1 tab po TID
	(hold next acetaminophen scheduled dose)	Scheduled acetaminophen 500 mg po q 12 h
	Hydromorphone 1 mg IV q 3 h	
	PRN for severe breakthrough pain	
Postdischarge		
Week 1 (at	Oxycodone/acetaminophen	Ibuprofen 600 mg po q 8 h \times 7 d (Rx given)
	5 mg/325 mg 1 tab po q 4 h PRN	Gabapentin 100 mg 1 tab po TID \times 7 days (Rx given)
	Dispense #42 (1 time Rx, no refills)	Scheduled acetaminophen 500 mg po q12 h \times 7 d (can increase as combined opioid analgesic decreases)
	Hydrocodone/acetaminophen	NSAIDs PRN as directed
	5 mg/325 mg or tramadol 50 mg (only if necessary—3 Rx Max)	Gabapentin if necessary (up to 1800 mg/d)
Week 2	1 tab po q 4 h PRN Dispense #42	Scheduled acetaminophen 500 mg po q12 h (can increase as combined opioid analgesic decreases)
	Dispense #42	analgesic decreases)
Week 3	1 tab po q6 hours PRN Dispense #28	Scheduled acetaminophen 1000 mg po q12 h (can increase as combined opioid analgesic decreases)
Week 4	1 tab po q8 hours PRN Dispense #21	Scheduled acetaminophen 1000 mg po q8 hours (can increase as combined opioic analgesic decreases)
Weeks 5+	•	NSAIDs PRN as directed
Weeks 5		Acetaminophen PRN as directed
		Gabapentin if necessary (then wean)

TABLE 2. Pain Medication Recommended Taper* Following a Major Musculoskeletal Injury Procedure (eg, Operative Fixation of Long Bone or Complex Joint Fracture, Extensive Soft Tissue Injury or Surgery, etc.)

Dosage and duration can be less if tolerated.

*In conjunction with other best practice recommendations and individualized per treating physician discretion according to patient characteristics, local practice preferences, and state law.

PRN, pro re nata, "as needed"; TID, ter in die, three times per day.

Group were applied to each recommendation.¹⁴ This method yields a grade for the strength of the recommendation and a grade for the quality of the evidence. The grading of the evidence was based on the study designs, number of studies, sample sizes, and consistency of results among different studies. The panel assigned recommendations as "strong" (practices in which benefits are sure to outweigh potential harms) or "conditional" (the evidence was weaker or if the benefits do not significantly outweigh potential harms).

Approval of Guideline

Recommendations from each topic area were combined to produce a comprehensive guideline for management of acute musculoskeletal pain. All panel members reviewed and revised the combined guideline. The guideline was submitted to the Orthopaedic Trauma Association for review and was approved on October 16, 2018.

Best Practice and Pain Management Recommendations

Because of the increasing recognition of the opioid crisis, several professional societies, health care systems, pharmacies, insurance companies, and governmental organizations have released guidelines and toolkits for the safe prescribing of opioids.^{3,15–39} Although some of these guidelines address certain aspects of pain from musculoskeletal conditions, many are focused on the management of chronic pain, and few give concrete examples of practical methods

and prescribing practices that can be easily implemented when caring for acute musculoskeletal injuries.

We provide best practice recommendations and pain medication recommendations (Tables 1-4) with the hope that they can be used by orthopaedic practices and other specialties (eg, primary care and emergency medicine) to improve the management of acute pain following musculoskeletal injury. The best practice recommendations for acute pain management following musculoskeletal injury are supplemented with the corresponding in-depth reviews presented in this article. The pain medication recommendations are divided into 3 clinical scenarios-major musculoskeletal injury procedure (eg, operative fixation of long bone or complex joint fracture, extensive soft tissue injury or surgery, etc.), minor musculoskeletal injury procedure (eg, operative fixation of small bone or simple joint fracture, minimal soft tissue dissection or surgery, etc.), and nonoperative musculoskeletal injury (eg, closed management of injury, laceration repair, etc.). The best practice recommendations and the pain management recommendations are meant to be used in conjunction with each other and should be individualized per treating physician discretion according to patient characteristics, local practice preferences, and applicable state laws.

RECOMMENDATIONS

Cognitive and Emotional Strategies

• The panel recommends discussing alleviation of pain, expected recovery course, and patient experience at all

Status	Opioid	Nonopioid
Postdischarge		
Week 1	Hydrocodone/acetaminophen 5 mg/325 mg or tramadol 50 mg 1 tab po q 6 h PRN Dispense #28 (1 time Rx, no refills)	Ibuprofen 600 mg po q 8 h × 7 d (Rx given) Gabapentin 100 mg 1 tab po TID × 7 d (Rx given) Scheduled acetaminophen 1000 mg po q12 h (can increase as combined opioid analgesic decreases)
	Hydrocodone/acetaminophen 5 mg/325 mg or tramadol 50 mg (only if necessary—2 Rx Max)	NSAIDs PRN as directed Gabapentin if necessary (up to 1800 mg/d)
Week 2	1 tab po q 8 h PRN Dispense #21	Scheduled acetaminophen 1000 mg po q8 hours (can increase as combined opioid analgesic decreases)
Week 3	1 tab po q12 h PRN Dispense #14	Scheduled acetaminophen 1000 mg po q8 hours (can increase as combined opioid analgesic decreases)
Weeks 4+		NSAIDs PRN as directed Acetaminophen PRN as directed

TABLE 3. Pain Medication Recommended Taper* Following a Minor Musculoskeletal Injury Procedure (eg, Operative Fixation of Small Bone or Simple Joint Fracture, Minimal Soft Tissue Injury or Surgery, etc.)

Dosage and duration can be less if tolerated

*In conjunction with other best practice recommendations and individualized per treating physician discretion according to patient characteristics, local practice preferences, and state law.

encounters (strong recommendation, moderate-quality evidence).

- The panel recommends connecting patients with pain that is greater or more persistent than expected and patients with substantial symptoms of depression, anxiety, or posttraumatic stress or less effective coping strategies (greater catastrophic thinking and lower self-efficacy) to psychosocial interventions and resources (strong recommendation, low-quality evidence).
- The panel recommends that clinicians consider using anxiety-reducing strategies to increase self-efficacy and promote peace of mind with patients like aromatherapy, music therapy, or cognitive behavioral therapy (strong recommendation, low-quality evidence).

Nociception and Pain

Nociception is the physiology of actual or potential tissue damage. Pain is the unpleasant thoughts, emotions, and behaviors that accompany nociception. There is wide variation in pain intensity for a given nociception.⁴⁰ Pain catastrophizing is an ineffective coping strategy characterized by unhelpful preparation for the worst including rumination and helplessness.⁴¹ Greater catastrophic thinking is consistently associated with greater pain intensity.⁴² Increased symptoms of anxiety and depression and greater alcohol use are also associated with higher pain intensity, whereas self-efficacy and fewer symptoms of depression are associated with less pain.43-45

Studies of musculoskeletal injuries, including ankle sprains and fractures, have found no association between pain intensity and degree of nociception (injury severity). Variations in pain intensity and magnitude of limitations are accounted for more by measures of psychosocial aspects of illness than by measures of pathophysiology.44,46-53

There are also cultural differences in pain intensity and alleviation of pain with medication. Studies document good pain relief using nonopioid medication in patients recovering from fracture surgery in The Netherlands and Vietnam.54-57 In the United States, however, patients who take more opioids in the hospital after fracture surgery have more pain and less satisfaction with alleviation of pain.^{43–45} These findings suggest that psychological factors play a significant role in the intensity of pain for a given nociception.

TABLE 4. Pain Medication Recommended Taper* Following a Nonoperative Musculoskeletal Injury (eg, Closed Management of Injury, Laceration Repair, etc.)

Injury Category	Opioid	Nonopioid
Minor injury (eg, small bone fracture, sprain, laceration, etc.)	Tramadol 50 mg (only if necessary—2 Rx Max) 1 tab po q 6 h PRN Dispense #20, then #10	NSAIDs PRN as directed Scheduled acetaminophen 1000 mg po q8 hours, then PRN as directed
Major injury (eg, large bone fracture, rupture, etc.)	Hydrocodone/acetaminophen 5 mg/325 mg or tramadol 50 mg (only if necessary—2 Rx Max) 1 tab po q 6 h PRN Dispense #20, then #10	NSAIDs PRN as directed Scheduled acetaminophen 1000 mg po q12 h, then PRN as directed

Dosage and duration can be less if tolerated.

*In conjunction with other best practice recommendations and individualized per treating physician discretion according to patient characteristics, local practice preferences, and state law.

Persistent pain in the absence of infection or implant problems correlates with psychosocial factors.^{53,56,58–77} Pain intensity, magnitude of limitations, and continued opioid use are associated with greater symptoms of depression or post-traumatic stress disorder and less effective coping strategies (eg, greater catastrophic thinking).

Chronic pain is defined as pain lasting beyond the usual course of healing or more than 3–6 months, which affects the individual's daily functioning and well-being.⁷⁸ Several non-modifiable risk factors have been identified for the development of chronic pain including female sex, age >65 years, intense acute pain, and low socioeconomic status. Several modifiable risk factors have also been identified including greater pain catastrophizing, greater pain-related fear, and greater symptoms of anxiety, depression, and posttraumatic stress disorder. Identifying and addressing psychosocial factors may limit persistent pain.

Psychosocial Interventions

A notable portion of trauma patients have substantial symptoms of anxiety, depression, and post-traumatic stress disorder months after injury. Giving opioids for pain that is more intense and disabling than expected might represent a misdiagnosis and mistreatment of stress, distress, and less effective coping strategies.

Initial studies of psychosocial interventions to limit psychological distress and improve comfort and ability have had mixed results.^{62,79–94} The goals of these interventions are to improve overall mental health and decrease rates and severity of depression, anxiety, and posttraumatic stress disorder. Interventions studied include cognitive behavior therapy, selfmanagement interventions and training, educational information access, peer support, and online social networking. Cognitive behavioral interventions have positive effects on pain relief in some trials.^{58,95,96} There is also evidence that webbased cognitive behavioral therapy is effective.97-99 Metaanalyses of music therapy demonstrate decreased anxiety and better sleep in the setting of chronic medical illness.¹⁰⁰ Music therapy has also demonstrated positive effects on pain relief and opioid dose reduction. Similarly, systematic reviews of aromatherapy have demonstrated anxiolytic effects¹⁰¹ and pain reduction.¹⁰² Further research on the utility of various interventions can help elucidate the most effective resources for trauma patients.

Physical Strategies

TENS

- The panel recommends the use of transcutaneous electrical stimulation (TENS) as an adjunct to other immediate postinjury or postoperative pain treatments (strong recommendation, low-quality evidence).
- The panel can neither recommend nor discourage a specific TENS device or protocol. Regimens that incorporate suboptimal frequencies not approaching a "subnoxious or maximal tolerable/painful" setting lack effective pain modulation and should be avoided (conditional recommendation, low-quality evidence).

TENS attempts to modulate pain through delivery of low-voltage electric currents over the skin from a small portable device. The stimulation of large diameter peripheral afferent nerve fibers is believed to reduce pain by activating opioid receptors through an endogenous descending inhibitory pathway.¹⁰³ The contraindications to the use of TENS include the presence of a pacemaker or implanted defibrillator, broken skin at the site of application, or significant lymphedema.

There are mixed results on the adjunctive use of TENS to modulate pain, largely due to a relative paucity of highquality trials and significant interstudy heterogeneity due to the lack of any specific standardized treatment protocols. The panel's literature review was restricted to TENS studies within the last 20 years.

The American Pain Society's 2016 Clinical Practice Guideline for the management of postoperative pain recommends the consideration of TENS as an adjunctive modality with treatments directed near the surgical wound. The review panel found insufficient evidence for specific TENS regimens but emphasized that positive effects were stronger when optimal predefined stimulation parameters were used.¹⁰³

A meta-analysis (21 randomized clinical trials, RCTs) of TENS as an adjunct to reduce postoperative analgesic consumption found that the effectiveness may depend on the current amplitude. The authors only included studies that report a "strong and/or definite subnoxious, and/or maximal nonpainful, and/or maximal tolerable" stimulation with currents >15 mA or a pulse frequency of 1-8 Hz (acupuncturelike TENS; ALTENS) or 25-150 Hz (TENS). The review found TENS (vs. placebo TENS) around the surgical wound significantly reduced postoperative analgesic consumption by 26.5% (range -6% to 51%): subnoxious stimulation reduced opioid consumption by 35.5%, whereas nonspecific trials vielded less effect (4.1% reduction). Overall difference in analgesic consumption favored TENS versus placebo with optimal median frequencies at 2 Hz for ALTENS or 85 Hz for TENS.104

The effectiveness of TENS within the orthopaedic literature is limited by nonstandardized clinical trials often without reported or consistent TENS treatment protocols. Adjunctive TENS use within the immediate postoperative period after a total knee arthroplasty (TKA) postulates a trend toward favorable mean weighted reduction in opioid consumption versus placebo TENS or standard care (3 metaanalyses and 1 RTC).¹⁰⁵ One systematic review and metaanalysis found that TENS decreased pain severity at 1, 2, and 6 months after TKA, but this was based on low-quality studies.¹⁰⁵ Interestingly, both TENS and placebo TENS (45second cutoff) were found to decrease postoperative TKA pain with active extension and fast walking, highlighting a potential placebo effect that subsided by 6 weeks postoperatively versus standard treatment.¹⁰⁶ A prospective doubleblind randomized trial on arthroscopic rotator cuff repair found TENS to significantly reduce immediate postoperative opioid use by 25% at both 48 hours and 1 week.¹⁰⁷ These results are moderately consistent with the nonorthopaedic literature where TENS decreased postoperative opioid analgesic requirements (by 53% with mixed frequencies vs. 35% with high-frequency and 32% with low-frequency settings) and

opioid-related side effects when used as an adjunct to patientcontrolled analgesia (PCA) after lower abdominal gynecological surgery.¹⁰⁸ In contrast, although TENS was determined useful after thoracic surgical procedures (only when less invasive approaches yield mild to moderate postoperative pain), TENS was ineffective for severe pain with invasive approaches.¹⁰⁹

A meta-analysis (27 RCTs) of 6 different types of electrical stimulation determined that interferential current, a less common modality, was the only treatment to effectively modulate pain intensity and change pain visual analog scale (VAS) scores (standardized mean difference = 2.06, 95% CI: 1.1-3.19), that the effect of high-frequency TENS was uncertain, and that low-frequency TENS was not effective.¹¹⁰

In conclusion, our systematic review indicates that TENS, when applied using strong, subpainful frequencies, is an effective multimodal adjunct to modulate acute orthopaedic injury and postoperative pain. Recent publications demonstrate a substantial degree of interstudy heterogeneity, most notably inconsistent descriptions of both TENS dosing intensities and standardized outcome measures. The long-term tolerance of the same dose TENS parameters and strategies to prolong its effect is largely unknown. Higher-quality clinical trials are necessary to provide stronger evidence in favor of TENS as a consistent treatment for acute pain and perioperative pain modulation.

Cryotherapy

- The panel recommends the use of cryotherapy for acute musculoskeletal injury and the postsurgical orthopaedic patient as an adjunct to other postoperative pain treatments (conditional recommendation, low-quality evidence).
- The panel cannot recommend a specific cryotherapy delivery modality or protocol (no recommendation, limited evidence).

Cryotherapy is the application of an external cold source in which the desired effect is a drop in tissue temperature. Cold sources that have historically been used include ice bags, cold gel packs, ice massage, cold water submersion, gaseous cryotherapy, and continuous-flow cryotherapy devices with and without pneumatic compression. Basic science studies have shown that the biologic effects of cold therapies are multifactorial. A decrease in tissue temperature results in decreased tissue edema and microvascular permeability,^{111,112} reduced delivery of inflammatory mediators,^{112–116} reduced blood flow via vasoconstriction,^{116–120} overall net decrease in tissue metabolic demand, and subsequent hypoxic injury.^{116– 118,120} In addition, the decrease in tissue temperature has been shown to increase the threshold of painful stimuli and increase the tolerance to pain.¹²¹

Multiple studies have looked at the efficacy of cryotherapy in the postoperative orthopaedic patient for various anatomic areas including the knee, hip, shoulder, foot and ankle, wrist, and hand. Among the studies that evaluated cryotherapy versus a noncryotherapy control, 10 randomized controlled trials and 2 meta-analyses have shown a significant benefit for pain control.^{105,122–132} Contrary to this, there have been 8 randomized controlled trials that have shown no benefit to cryotherapy compared with a noncryotherapy control.^{133–140} Many studies have also looked at cryotherapy's ability to decrease opioid consumption compared with a noncryotherapy control. Of these studies, 11 have shown a significant decrease in pain medication consumption^{105,123,125–} ^{127,129,131–133,138,141} compared with 5 studies showing no difference.^{134–136,139,140}

Many randomized controlled trials have compared continuous-flow cryotherapy devices to ice bags or packs. Nine studies have failed to show a difference in pain scores,^{142–150} whereas 5 studies have shown improved pain with continuous-flow cryotherapy.^{151–155} No studies have shown superior pain control with ice bags or packs compared with continuous cryotherapy.

There are also inconclusive results pertaining to the difference in pain medication consumption when comparing continuous-flow cryotherapy with ice bags or packs. Five studies have demonstrated a decreased need for opioids with continuous cryotherapy,^{148,150,151,154,156} one study showed a lower consumption of pain medication with the use of ice packs,¹⁵⁷ and 5 RCTs failed to show a difference between these 2 cryotherapy modalities.^{142,145,147,149,158} It is possible that continuous-flow cryotherapy results in a higher patient satisfaction with the cryotherapy treatments^{142,148,150} and that there may also be a benefit to continuous-flow cryotherapy at night.¹⁵⁹ It is important to note the methodologic variability within the cryotherapy literature. Variables such as cryotherapy source, temperature, duration, and frequency can vary drastically from treatment groups in the same study, as well as study to study, making the assessment on the magnitude of effect difficult to determine. Because of the current literature's methodological heterogeneity, we are unable to favor 1 method of cryotherapy application, protocol, or both.

Like most therapeutic interventions, cryotherapy can result in complications. Nerve palsies have been reported in the literature, mostly involving more superficial nerves such as the peroneal nerve, lateral femoral cutaneous nerve, ulnar nerve, and supraclavicular nerve. Care must be taken to provide sufficient insulation between the skin and the cryotherapy source, especially in patients with minimal subcutaneous fat. Nerve injuries can range from brief paresthesias to complete axonotmesis.^{160,161} Frostbite has also been a concern but, to our knowledge, has not been reported as a result of cryotherapy after an orthopaedic procedure.

Overall, the body of literature provides preliminary support for use of cryotherapy for acute pain management. However, future studies should focus on determining the most efficacious method of application and protocol for cryotherapy.

Opioid Safety and Effectiveness

• The panel endorses that all opioids used for pain carry a risk of misuse. Opioids are also associated with adverse clinical events. Patient comfort and safety must be carefully balanced when prescribing opioids. Because of the potential for misuse of all opioids, the panel recommends that the prescriber should use the lowest effective dose for the shortest period possible (strong recommendation, highquality evidence).

- The panel recommends not prescribing benzodiazepines in conjunction with opioids because of the significant risks of inconsistent sedation and potential for misuse (strong recommendation, high-quality evidence).
- The panel recommends avoiding long-acting opioids in the acute setting (strong recommendation, moderate-quality evidence).
- The panel recommends prescribing precisely. Commonly written prescriptions with ranges of dose and duration can allow tripling of daily dose to levels consistent with adverse events (strong recommendation, low-quality evidence).

Opioids are the most commonly used medications for treatment of most severe pain conditions.¹⁶² All opioids come with some level of safety concern. Regardless of the formulation used, there is always a risk of adverse events, as well as abuse, addiction, or both. The number and severity of adverse events from opioids are related to their potency, half-life, and mode of use.

The number of milligrams in the dosage is not an indication of how strong the medication might be. Potent opioids (eg, fentanyl is 50–100 times as potent as morphine) increase the number and severity of events. Although oxymorphone and oxycodone are about equally effective in treating pain, more adverse events are seen with oxymorphone because of its higher potency.¹⁶³ Oxymorphone has 3–7 times the efficacy of morphine, whereas oxycodone is only 1.5 times greater. Currently, immediate-release opioids are prescribed at a significantly higher rate than extended-release options.¹⁶⁴ These extended-release medications result in a 4.6-fold higher abuse rate and a 6.1 times increased diversion potential.¹⁶⁴ The risk of addiction and abuse also has a strong correlation with the length of time the opioids are prescribed. Although some patients may become addicted after long-term therapy, a significantly larger proportion will show behavior of medication misuse and illicit drug use.¹⁶⁵

The main formulations on the market have vastly different pharmacokinetics. Immediate-release opioids, which cause serum opioid levels to rapidly increase and decrease with a shorter half-life, have a shorter period of pain relief. Long-acting ("continued-release" tablets) may deliver opioids for a longer period, but the amount of opioid absorbed is less per unit of time. This results in less fluctuation in serum drug levels, keeping opioid concentration in the therapeutic range.¹⁶⁶ For the inpatient setting, long-acting opioids may have the same effectiveness as short-acting opioids when used as monotherapy, but given newer multimodal pain management regimens, this is not recommended current practice.¹⁶⁷ Both short-acting and long-acting opioids have been shown to be effective in treating pain and increasing quality of sleep, with the main difference being that the number of pills prescribed will be higher in the short-acting group.¹⁶⁸⁻¹⁷⁰ Other drug formulations have been created to include supposed abuse deterrent properties, but in actuality may have a similar profile in regard to effectiveness and adverse events.¹⁷¹ Combining opioids with other drugs has been shown to be more effective in managing pain than opioids alone. More specifically, combining opioids with nonsteroidal antiinflammatory drugs (NSAIDs) has been shown to be more effective than opioids alone.¹⁷² Benzodiazepines do not have this beneficial synergy. Taking any of these formulations with food does not change the maximum dose of the medication delivered, although when taken after a high fat meal, the time to maximum concentration is delayed.¹⁷³

The literature comparing the difference of the safety and efficacy of opiates for the treatment of pain in acutely injured musculoskeletal patients is scarce. The majority of the literature on safety and efficacy of opioids is in regard to chronic pain from both malignant and nonmalignant conditions. The evidence in these areas is not strong.¹⁶² There is very little in the literature discussing safety and efficacy in the short-term postinjury setting. Hence, the appropriate dose for specific injuries or conditions is not well defined. Standard prescribing habits seem to routinely provide an excess amount of medication. A recent study found that 81% of patients took 20 or fewer pills after knee arthroscopy.¹⁷⁴ A study of opioid use by 250 patients who had undergone elective outpatient upper extremity surgery showed that although all patients were prescribed opioids for 30 days (30 pills), 52% used their prescription for pain control for only 2 days or less. On average, each patient took 11 pills, leaving 19 pills unused. With fewer pills prescribed, there was a 79% reduction of leftover pills in the community, thus decreasing the potential for diversion.¹⁷⁵

Leaders in musculoskeletal care need to develop specific strategies based on burden of disease. Other non-opioid medications should be used with an intent to obtain balanced patient comfort and safety. Some data have shown that the risk of dependency increases significantly with increasing duration of use.¹⁷⁶ Every effort should be made to minimize prescription length.

The main cause of death in patients using opioids is respiratory depression. This can occur with any opioid regardless of the type or formulation. This deadly complication is dose and concentration dependent with many other variables such as opioid tolerance, body mass index, respiratory disease, obstructive sleep apnea, and concomitant medications. Patients with a history of opioid use are expected to require more opioids for adequate pain relief while experiencing fewer adverse events due to tolerance.166,177 Common non-life-threatening side effects seen in approximately 10% of patients prescribed immediaterelease opioids are pruritus, nausea, vomiting, dizziness, headache, and somnolence.^{178,179} Addiction and abuse are complications often seen by psychiatrists or psychologists. Despite early, unsubstantiated claims of improved safety with longacting opioids,¹⁸⁰ the relative abuse and addiction potential with short-acting or long-acting opioids remains a question. Some evidence suggests that there is no difference in illicit drug use, misuse, or both when comparing long-acting versus short-acting opioids, suggesting that prescribing long-acting opioids will not reduce abuse potential.¹⁸¹ A contradictory study showed less drug-seeking behavior with extendedrelease formulations.¹⁸² Benzodiazepines should not be prescribed in conjunction with opioids because the risk of overdose and death increases significantly. There is a 3.9 times risk

of overdose due to respiratory depression when opioids and benzodiazepines are prescribed at the same time.¹⁸³

Combination Pharmaceutical Strategies

Multimodal Analgesia

- The panel recommends the use of multimodal analgesia (MMA) as opposed to opioid monotherapy for pain control (strong recommendation, moderate-quality evidence).
- The panel recommends the use of periarticular injections as an adjunct to pain management that improves pain control postoperatively (strong recommendation, moderate-quality evidence).
- The panel cannot recommend specific MMA regimens at this time without further scientific evidence. MMA should be tailored to patients' injuries and medical comorbidities (strong recommendation, very low-quality evidence).

MMA, also referred to as balanced analgesia, is the use of multiple analgesic medications (opioid and nonopioid) and nonpharmacologic interventions designed to affect peripheral and or central nervous system loci in the pain pathway.¹⁰³ Benefits of this treatment paradigm include potentiation of multiple medication effects and greater pain control without relying on any 1 class of medication. MMA therefore mitigates the risk profile of each medication, while allowing for synergistic pain control from different classes of medication. Successful postoperative MMA may include psychotherapy, physical therapy, NSAIDs, acetaminophen, gabapentinoids, regional anesthesia (single shot or peripheral nerve catheters), local injections, and opioids. Recent reviews,¹⁸⁴ meta-analyses,¹⁸⁵ and RCTs¹⁸⁶ have shown that MMA is effective in the perioperative period. There is, however, a paucity of literature in the orthopaedic trauma population, and therefore, literature from other subspecialties and surgical fields was included.

The majority of the orthopaedic literature addresses the arthroplasty population (14 articles). These articles addressed the following 3 main clinical trial questions: (1) comparison of different periarticular injections, (2) oral or "standard" medication regimen versus addition of a peripheral nerve block (covered in later section), and (3) oral or "standard" medication regimen versus MMA.

Four studies compared "standard" medication regimens versus MMA. For example, additions to MMA strategies include gabapentin¹⁸⁷ and duloxetine.¹⁸⁸ Gabapentin seemed to decrease pain scores, but not opioid consumption,¹⁸⁷ whereas duloxetine decreased opioid consumption, but not pain scores.¹⁸⁹

Finally, 2 studies evaluated the cost-effectiveness of MMA in arthroplasty patients. In both cases, the use of multimodal therapy decreased hospital costs, directly related to medication, and overall hospital costs for patient stay.^{190,191}

There is limited literature regarding the use of MMA in other nontrauma orthopaedic subspecialties. Two articles evaluated the use of MMA in foot and ankle surgery where MMA decreased length of stay¹⁹² and decreased pain in the first 24 hours after surgery.¹⁹³ In spine surgery, the addition of MMA to a standard PCA regimen, decreased opioid use and improved mobilization.¹⁹⁴ When compared with intravenous (IV) medication only, MMA decreased VAS scores at all time points following lumbar fusion surgery.¹⁹⁵

In orthopaedic trauma, addition of periarticular injection to standard pain control for hip hemiarthroplasty improved VAS scores and reduced opioid usage early in the postoperative course.¹⁹⁶ Surgical site injection also improved pain for femoral fracture patients.¹⁹⁷ In the upper extremity, MMA compared with PCA showed additional need for pain rescue in the PCA group and lower patient satisfaction.¹⁹⁸ In a study of emergency department (ED) fracture patients, IV morphine or IV Tylenol + oral oxycodone was equally effective for pain control in the first hour after administration. However, patients in the IV morphine group did have less nausea and site itching.¹⁹⁹

The use of corticosteroids for postoperative pain has been validated in the literature in other specialties in medicine. As with other medications, there are risks associated with the use of corticosteroids. Systemic side effects often associated with longterm therapy include the following: Cushingoid appearance, hirsutism, exophthalmos, hypertension, arrhythmias, gastritis, osteoporosis, avascular necrosis, dysphoria, and hypokalemia just to name a few. From a postoperative perspective, concerns include a decrease or delay in wound healing potential and infection. There are no data to indicate that short-term use of corticosteroids causes an increase in infection. It is not recommended to use corticosteroids in patients older than 60 years and in immunocompromised patients because some data suggest that there is an increase in healing time.²⁰⁰ An increase in blood glucose 24 hours after surgery should be expected and has not been associated with an increase in the rate of infection.²⁰¹

Corticosteroids given orally or IV can decrease the use of opioid analgesics by 50%.²⁰² Benefits of corticosteroids include a decrease in postoperative nausea, decrease in opioid requirements, decrease in the length of hospital stay, and more complete pain relief.^{203,204} The smallest dose that is effective should be prescribed. Doses ranging from 15 mg of dexamethasone to 0.1 mg/kg have been shown to be effective with no complications.^{201,203,205–207} A meta-analysis of perioperative use corticosteroids concludes that an "intermediatedose dexamethasone (0.11–0.2 mg/kg) is a safe and effective multimodal pain strategy after surgical procedures. The preoperative administration of the drug provides a greater effect on postoperative pain."²⁰¹ Physicians should consider perioperative dosing of corticosteroids in low-risk patients, especially in patients at risk of dependency.

Managing Acute Pain for Patients on Long-Term Opioids at Presentation

The panel recommends that perioperative analgesia should be managed with a MMA regimen in all opioid-tolerant patients (strong recommendation, moderate-quality evidence).

• The panel recommends coordinating with acute pain service (APS) (or addiction medicine or psychiatry depending on resources) when inpatient and the patient's prescriber when outpatient to ensure that there is only 1 prescriber for patients on medication-assisted therapy (methadone, buprenorphine, or naltrexone), patients using illicit opioids, or patients

misusing prescription opioids (strong recommendation, moderate-quality evidence).

Opioid-tolerant patients present a clinical challenge to effective perioperative pain management. These patients have a medical condition and should be treated with the same respect and dignity as a patient with any other presurgical medical condition. Developed nations have observed a large increase in the number of opioid-tolerant patients over the last decade.^{103,208} In the United States, a combination of expanding heroin abuse, pain control metrics, and pharmacologic development of long-acting opioids has resulted in a dramatic increase in the number of opioid-tolerant patients. Managing perioperative pain in the opioid-tolerant patient is both a medical and a social challenge. Opioid-tolerant patients are at an increased risk of receiving inadequate perioperative analgesia.¹⁰³ This risk exists as the result of (1) a social stigmatization of opioid prescription and consumption²⁰⁹; (2) concerns for drug-seeking behavior²¹⁰ or relapse of recovering addicts, or both; and (3) an incomplete understanding of opioid agonist and opioid replacement therapy pharmacokinetics.²¹¹

Opioid-tolerant patients present with 1 of the following 3 clinical scenarios: (1) scheduled, prescribed opioid (shortacting or long-acting) regimens; (2) prescribed medical assisted therapy (methadone and buprenorphine); and (3) illegal consumption of prescription or nonprescription opioids.²¹² Each patient can be further subdivided into those who are actively experiencing acute pain in an emergent setting (secondary to trauma) or whose treatment necessitates elective surgery (nonunion, malunion, infection, and hardware removal). The care of these patients can be difficult, and there is little literature to guide treatment.

At the time of this publication, there are a limited number of observational studies examining acute perioperative pain management in the opioid-tolerant patient. However, care must be taken when managing these patients. In 2 studies on orthopaedic trauma populations, it has been shown that patients on opioids are at a higher risk of receiving prescriptions from multiple prescriptions, higher doses, and longer duration of opioid use.^{213,214} What follows is a review of available literature and clinical recommendations for perioperative analgesia in the opioid-tolerant patient.

It is critical to identify opioid users immediately after injury or in the preoperative period to avoid uncontrolled acute pain. Physicians should obtain information on type, dose, frequency, and last consumption of all opioids, which will allow conversion to morphine equivalent doses. The opioid-tolerant patient experiences pain, physiologically, differently than the opioid-naive patient^{103,211,215–217} because of the following:

- a. Cross tolerance occurs between different opioids
- b. Increased sensitivity to natural and experimental pain.^{103,211,218,219}
 - Results in higher-than-expected postoperative pain scores and slower resolution of acute pain in the postoperative period.^{211,218}
- c. High-affinity partial μ -agonist and antagonist block the effect of standard opioids. When these medications are

used, patients require high opioid doses to displace competitive medications before analgesia takes effect.

The following sections provide brief recommendations for specific populations of opioid-tolerant patients, including those taking chronic short-acting opioid therapy, those using illicit opioids, and those taking methadone, buprenorphine, or naltrexone.

Chronic Short-Acting Opioid Therapy

Perioperative pain management of patients consuming routine and scheduled oral opioids should include the following:

- 1. Instructions to continue baseline medication the morning of surgery through the postoperative period.²²⁰
 - a. If transdermal fentanyl patches are used preoperatively, patients should be converted to an IV morphine equivalent dose. This is because of alterations in fentanyl release during fluid shifts and body temperature changes observed with surgery.^{220,221}
- 2. Titrate short-acting μ -agonist to effective pain control.
- 3. When oral medications cannot be consumed, the 24-hour morphine equivalent dose should be calculated for conversion to IV management until oral medications can be re-instituted.²¹⁵

Illicit Opioids

Perioperative pain management is further complicated by inaccurate consumption history and variation in strength of illicit drugs:

1. If available, consult addiction medicine, APS, or psychiatry.¹⁰³

Methadone (Slow-Release Oral Morphine or Opioid Agonist)

Perioperative pain management of patients consuming methadone should include the following²¹⁵:

- 1. If available, consult addiction medicine, APS, or psychiatry.¹⁰³
- 2. Continue baseline methadone throughout the perioperative period including the morning of surgery.
- 3. If unable to take oral medications, convert the 24-hour dose to IV methadone according to the conversion chart and administer in 2–4 divided doses.
 - a. Pharmacokinetics of methadone are influenced by CYP450 and CYP3A4 metabolism and may also vary based on the patient's own metabolism. Consult a pharmacist or APS specialist for conversion to the appropriate morphine equivalent dose.²²²
- 4. Supplement perioperative pain with short-acting agonist.
- 5. Close respiratory monitoring due to combined effects.
- 6. Educate the patient on acute opioid taper.

Buprenorphine [Partial μ-Agonist Alone or Mixed With Kappa Antagonist (Naloxone)]

Addiction medicine, APS, or psychiatry (depending on local resources and expertise) should be consulted when managing patients on buprenorphine, which is commonly administered transdermally for chronic pain and sublingually for substitution in opioid abusers.^{215,223–225} Owing to the

medication high affinity for Mu receptors and kappa antagonist effect, other agonists may have limited analgesia effect and typically require high doses to achieve affect. For this reason, close respiratory monitoring is required when using short- and long-acting opioids.

Perioperative pain management of patients consuming buprenorphine will vary according to the clinical setting:

- 1. Elective surgery
 - a. Mild to moderate pain
 - i. Consider management with increased doses of buprenorphine (when low doses are prescribed at baseline)
 - ii. Continue buprenorphine and add short-acting µ--agonist
 - b. Moderate to severe pain
 - i. Discontinue 72 hours before surgery and convert to short-acting agonist.1. Higher-than-expected doses are anticipated for analgesia for 3 to 4 days while buprenorphine is cleared from the body2. Reassess analgesia daily and expect to decrease full agonist between days 3 and 43. Manage acute pain with a tapering regimen
 - ii. The patient should be opioid-free for 24 hours before restarting buprenorphine to avoid withdrawal.
- 2. In acute traumatic presentation
 - a. Conversion to methadone according to conversion tables and titrate dose to effect
 - b. When clinical presentation does not afford conversion and titration, recommend aggressive acute titration to full opioid agonist.
 - i. High doses are required to displace high-affinity buprenorphine from μ -receptors
 - ii. Requires continuous cardiopulmonary monitoring

Naltrexone (Opioid Antagonist Often Used to Limit Relapse Following Opioid Dependence Rehabilitation)

Because of its antagonist mechanism, naltrexone creates a difficult clinical scenario, particularly in the acute traumatic setting. Naltrexone reduces opioid sensitivity by blocking receptors, but also upregulates μ -receptors. During initial treatment of postinjury and perioperative pain, a patient may not be sensitive to a short-acting μ -agonist and may require many times the normal dose.²²⁶ After 2 weeks, sensitivity to opioids may increase, risking overdose. When the acute pain period is over, and naltrexone is restarted, it carries the risk of inducing withdrawal. Therefore, the recommendation is to consult addiction medicine, APS, or psychiatry.

NSAIDs and Fracture Healing

• The panel recommends for the routine use of NSAIDs as part of a comprehensive analgesic plan for operative and nonoperative fracture care (strong recommendation, low-quality evidence).

One of the major barriers to using non-narcotic analgesics in orthopaedic trauma has been the reluctance to use NSAIDs in the setting of fracture or arthrodesis surgery of any kind. For decades, NSAIDs were avoided because of fears about bone healing. However, a review of the evidence has found the data on the effect of NSAIDs on bone healing too conflicting to make a clinical recommendation one way or the other.^{227–229} Given the proven track record of NSAIDs in alleviating musculoskeletal pain, withholding NSAIDs from our analgesic armamentarium is a significant disadvantage. Under the current circumstances, the basis of this prohibition merits a critical review.

The basic science studies have been conflicting at best. The most rigorous basic science studies are animal models of spinal fusion, whereas fracture healing models yielded mixed results at best.²³⁰ End points for animal studies demonstrated that NSAIDs contributed to reduced mechanical strength (as bone stiffness and load to failure) and delayed time to union.^{231,232} Nonetheless, this lack of clarity has reenforced the perception of a deleterious effect. Further animal studies attempted to examine what the possible mechanism of action could be and tried to establish whether there was a lesser impact from COX-2–specific inhibitors compared with indomethacin in the animal setting, again with mixed results.^{232,233}

Clinical studies are similarly unclear, but 4 of the clinical studies should be examined critically because they are frequently cited when raising alarm over NSAIDs in fracture healing. Giannoudis et al²³⁴ used a retrospective case-control model to compare femoral shaft fractures that had not healed to a group that healed successfully. The use of NSAIDS was reported to increase the odds of nonunion by 10.7 times (95% CI: 3.55-33.23), but the study was small and underpowered (sample size of 32 patients), NSAID use was severely underrepresented in the control group, and this same sample showed no effect of smoking. Furthermore, by starting with a group of 32 nonunited diaphyseal femur fractures, investigators may well have been preselecting the group most likely to take NSAIDs (for the pain of nonunion). Bhattacharyya et al²³⁵ point out exactly this bias when discussing their finding of higher NSAID use in the subset of humerus fractures that were treated closed and did not heal. To avoid selection bias, Bhattacharyya's group queried Medicare data (1995–2000) from 2 states for patients with a humeral shaft fracture. Starting with nearly 10,000 records, they found 104 patients (1.1%) with a nonunion. They reported that patients who used NSAIDs or opioids within the first 90 days after fracture had relative risks for nonunion of 3.7 (95% CI: 2.4-5.6) or 1.6 (95% CI: 1.1–2.5), respectively.²³⁵ More recently, Jeffcoach and coworkers retrospectively reviewed long bone fractures over a 2-year period at a single trauma center. The patients who had a long bone fracture and received NSAIDs during the inpatient postoperative days (12% of 1901 patients) had an odds ratio for a complication (nonunion, malunion, and infection) of 2.17 (1.15-4.10).²³⁶ In a welldesigned, prospective randomized trial on different durations of indomethacin treatment (3 days, 1 week, or 6 weeks) for prophylaxis of heterotopic ossification, Sagi et al²³⁷ showed that at 6 months after surgery, the highest incidence of nonunion of the posterior acetabular wall (67%) occurred in the group with the longest duration (6 weeks) of indomethacin use. Although there were only 13 patients in this group and that raises concerns over adequate power, the rate of nonunion of the posterior wall in all groups was surprisingly high.

Although isolated clinical investigations such as these have been cited as evidence to withhold NSAIDs during fracture treatment, this conclusion is not supported by a critical examination of the existing literature. Two recent comprehensive meta-analyses by Kurmis et al²²⁹ and Marquez-Lara et al²³⁸ have concluded that although some animal studies may raise a concern, there is no high-quality literature support for NSAID inhibition of fracture healing in the clinical setting. Ultimately, these critical evaluations of the existing clinical literature must stand as the cornerstones of our practice guideline recommendations on this issue.

Based on the unknown clinical role of opioids on fracture healing, recent investigations have tried to examine a potential effect of opiate analgesics on fracture healing. Morphine has been demonstrated to inhibit osteocalcin in vitro.239 Chrastil et al²⁴⁰ used a rat model to examine opioid influence on femur fractures and found that animals treated with opiate analgesia formed callus in greater volume, but that this callus was more disorganized and mechanically weaker than the control animals. Opiate-induced androgen deficiency syndrome describes the naturally occurring reduction in serum testosterone seen clinically with both acute and chronic opioid administration,²⁴¹ and Brinker et al²⁴² have previously demonstrated hypogonadism to be among the metabolic abnormalities identified in patients with nonunion. Chrastil et al²⁴³ attempted to determine whether supplemental testosterone might be used to mitigate the effects of opioids on callus formation and strength, but they found that supplemental testosterone was ineffective for this purpose. This study casts doubt on the theory that the effect of opioids on bone healing is solely mediated by hypogonadism because the opioidtreated animals demonstrated a decrease in serum testosterone, but still had impaired callus formation despite administration of supplemental exogenous testosterone. Overall, any conclusions on the role of opioids in bone healing are very preliminary and have not been corroborated with quality clinical studies, but given its potential impact on clinical practice, the field certainly merits further bench and clinical investigation.

With regard to the effectiveness of NSAIDs for pain control, there are now some head-to-head clinical comparisons available between NSAIDs and opioids for the acute management of musculoskeletal complaints in both the pediatric²⁴⁴ and adult^{245,246} populations. To date, these studies have demonstrated NSAIDs to provide equally effective analgesia.

To summarize, there is simply no conclusive clinical evidence to prohibit the use of NSAIDs in fracture care. Furthermore, risks to the population from oral opioid use, and the prolonged use after resolution of musculoskeletal injury, are well established. NSAIDs also provide effective analgesia in the setting of musculoskeletal pain.²⁴⁷ Taking all these factors and the existing clinical evidence into account, we recommend the routine use of NSAIDs as part of a comprehensive analgesic plan for operative and nonoperative fracture care.

Nerve/Regional/Field Blocks

This section is organized around the following 3 periods: (1) during a hospital admission before fracture surgery, (2) intraoperatively and the immediate postoperative period, and

(3) the remote (>3 months) postoperative period. In each of these temporal periods, in relation to fracture surgery, we asked what is the evidence that nerve, or regional, or field blocks improve pain control and decrease use of opioids?

During a Hospital Admission Before Fracture Surgery

• The panel recommends that regional nerve blocks (femoral nerve or fascia iliaca) should be placed in patients with acute hip fractures at the time of presentation to the ED (strong recommendation, high-quality evidence).

The evidence for this recommendation is confined to hip fracture patients. Multiple studies show that nerve blocks placed in the ED can be accomplished by trained personal with minimal risks or complications.^{248–258} These blocks have consistently been found to be effective in comparison to standard of care (parenteral opioids alone) in decreasing opioid use and improving patient's pain in the preoperative period.^{248,251,252,254,256,257} These results have been confirmed in multiple RCTs, and some of these studies are placebo controlled with blinded assessment of the outcome.^{252,253,257} Although there is high-quality evidence for these benefits of nerve blocks, instituting routine nerve blocks for hip fracture patients cannot be accomplished by the surgeon in isolation. System-wide changes in practice with involvement of other care providers (emergency medicine and anesthesia) are required.

There are other possible benefits of ED regional nerve blocks for hip fracture patients. One randomized controlled trial (RCT) found that these blocks decrease the incidence of delirium in hip fracture patients who are at an intermediate risk of this condition.²⁵⁷ Another RCT found a functional postoperative benefit in the hospital (walking distance and stair climbing ability) that lasted until 6 weeks after surgery.²⁵⁶ There is less strength of evidence for these benefits because they have only been assessed in 1 study each.

The nerve block technique has varied between studies. Some studies have used a 3-in-1 femoral nerve block (FNB), whereas others recommend a fascia iliaca block. Most studies recommend ultrasound guidance for either type of block.^{249,255} The fascia iliaca compartment block requires less precision and is probably more easily learned. The location is more remote from the neurovascular bundle and thus nearly eliminates the risk of intraarterial injection. Femoral nerve and fascia iliaca blocks have also been shown to have similar efficacy in TKA patients.²⁵⁰ Recommended training has been 30 minutes of didactic training, followed by variable periods of practice and supervised clinical performance. This short duration of training, however, may assume preexisting ultrasound skills.^{249,252}

Five studies have compared "standard" preoperative MMA to the addition of a nerve block. Addition of an FNB to preoperative oxycontin and celecoxib did not make a difference in TKA patients.²⁵⁹ YaDeau et al,²⁶⁰ however, showed lower VAS pain scores with addition of an FNB to standard epidural anesthesia. Divella's group evaluated resting and dynamic VAS scores for 3 days after total hip arthroplasty. Pain control was oxycontin and acetaminophen versus continuous epidural levobupivacaine. Resting VAS scores between the 2 groups were similar for days 1 and 2, but VAS scores

were significantly lower on day 3 for patients in the oxycontin group. Dynamic VAS scores for the oxycontin group were higher on day 1 and lower on day 3.²⁶¹ The use of general anesthesia (GA) with preoperative oxycodone and celecoxib versus intrathecal bupivacaine, morphine, and clonidine showed higher pain scores, faster time to first rescue medication need, and longer length of stay in the GA group.²⁶² Addition of multimodal postoperative pain medication (including oxycodone, tramadol, and ketorolac) compared with parenteral PCA showed less narcotic consumption, lower pain scores, and higher satisfaction and higher physical therapy goal achievement in the MMA group.²⁶³

The studies reviewed have not reported any complications of blocks, but most admit that the study was not powered to detect rare complications. Clinicians should be aware of the possibility of complications such as inadvertent intravascular injection, infection, intraneural injection, and masking symptoms of compartment syndrome.²⁵¹ All studies report a rapid onset of pain relief from these blocks; however, the effect is often not complete, and adjunctive analgesics are often necessary.²⁵²

Intraoperatively and the Immediate Postoperative Period

- The panel recommends that clinicians consider local or regional block anesthesia during operative treatment of fractures and as part of the postoperative multimodality pain control regimen (strong recommendation, high-quality evidence).
- The panel recommends that if a block is going to be performed for intraoperative and postoperative pain control, a continuous catheter be considered over a single-shot block to better facilitate postoperative pain control and diminish rebound pain (conditional recommendation; moderate-quality evidence).

The use of peripheral anesthesia via local injections, field blocks, single-shot regional blocks, and indwelling catheter regional blocks have all been shown to decrease pain scores and opioid consumption in the immediate and short-term perioperative period. The bulk of these data comes from the arthroplasty literature with contributing articles from the sports medicine, foot and ankle, and trauma literature.²⁶⁴ The data outside the orthopaedic literature are even more robust. Problems with these lower extremity blocks include a possible increase in rate of falls and rebound pain that has been reported in some studies.

Five articles have compared various periarticular injections. Early postoperative pain scores and opioid usage were lower with continuous femoral nerve catheter plus sciatic block than with periarticular injection with ropivacaine or liposomal bupivacaine.²⁶⁵ Ng et al,²⁶⁶ however, found equivalent outcomes with femoral nerve catheter versus periarticular injection. In addition, periarticular injection alone was not superior to postoperative epidural analgesia for pain control.²⁶⁷ The addition of periarticular liposomal bupivacaine to a periarticular injection cocktail was more effective than ropivacaine at 6 and 12 hours postoperatively; however, intrathecal morphine was more effective at 6 hours.²⁶⁸ Addition of ropivacaine and ketorolac to a periarticular injection cocktail improved postoperative pain control.²⁶⁹

In 1 RCT, a significant decrease in opioid consumption and better pain scores was found at 48 hours after hip arthroscopy in patients who received an FNB versus GA. However, the FNB group had a significant increase in the rate of falls compared with the GA group, highlighting one of the risks of this type of anesthesia, which in part accounts for its moderate recommendation.²⁷⁰

In another RCT, the benefit of local injection was assessed. A significant decrease in pain scores and opioid consumption was found for 8 hours and trended less over 48 hours in patients receiving a local injection compared with GA alone for femur fractures. The injection (containing ropivacaine, morphine, and epinephrine) was administered at the time of surgical fixation of the fracture. There were no complications attributed to the local injection itself.¹⁹⁷

Preoperative sciatic or popliteal continuous peripheral nerve block (CPNB) was compared with postoperative PCA in a retrospective study of patients undergoing fixation of talus and calcaneal fractures. Although Numerical Rating Scale pain scores, duration of stay, and side effects were equivalent in the 2 groups over 72 hours, morphine equivalent consumption on postoperative day 1 by the PCA patients was 30-fold that of the CPNB patients.²⁷¹

A single-shot popliteal (SSP) block was compared with an intraoperative ankle block in an RCT of patients undergoing elective forefoot surgery. The length of block time in the popliteal block group was 44% longer than the ankle block group. Although the patient satisfaction and perceived effectiveness with both types of blocks were similar, the popliteal block group showed significantly lower VAS pain scores the night after surgery and throughout the next morning.²⁷²

In an RCT of patients undergoing open reduction and internal fixation of distal radius fractures, GA patients needed more IV pain medications in the post-anesthesia care unit compared with those who received a single-shot brachial plexus block. In the 12–24 hours after surgery, patients who received the block showed a more aggressive increase in VAS scores and narcotic use consistent with the block wearing off and the patients experiencing rebound pain. Ultimately, the GA group had a statistically significantly higher total narcotic use at 72 hours compared with the block group.²⁷³

Peripheral anesthesia in the form of a block can be administered either via a single-shot injection or by placing a catheter that has the ability to deliver anesthetic around the nerve in a continuous fashion until the catheter is removed. Rebound pain is the pain a patient experiences when the block wears off and can be quite significant. This is typically because the patient has not been taking other postoperative pain medications because of low pain scores during the duration that the block has been in effect.

Goldstein et al²⁷⁴ addressed the problem of rebound pain phenomenon and were one of the first groups to write about this effect. They compared an SSP block with GA in an RCT of patients undergoing fixation of ankle fractures. Significantly lower pain scores were reported for the block group at 2, 4, and 8 hours after surgery, but significantly better pain scores were found in the GA group from 8 to 24 hours.

There is some evidence that continuous catheters control pain for a longer duration of time and may help diminish rebound pain by allowing the patient to get farther in the recovery process. In 1 RCT, an SSP block was compared with a CPNB in patients undergoing fixation of unstable ankle fractures. The CPNB catheter was removed at 48 hours. Over the first 72 hours, patients in the CPNB group took significantly fewer oral narcotics and had lower pain scores.²⁷⁵ Another study of patients undergoing open fixation for calcaneal fractures compared controls (no regional blocks) versus a single-shot block or against a continuous popliteal nerve block. In the 36 hours after surgery, the patients in the continuous block used significantly fewer IV narcotics than did the other 2 groups. However, a limitation of this study was that their postoperative pain protocol changed multiple times during the course of the study.²⁷⁶

Remote (>3 Months) Postoperative Period

• The panel makes no recommendations for this period because we were unable to find any data to guide us on whether regional or local anesthesia performed before, during, or in the immediate postoperative period has any effect on improving pain scores or decreasing opioid consumption at this time frame (no recommendation, no evidence).

Pain/Sedation Assessment

Inpatient Pain Assessment

• The panel recommends regular assessment of pain for both inpatients and outpatients to evaluate the need for initiation or continuation of opioid therapy (strong recommendation, low-quality evidence).

Effective January 1, 2018, the Joint Commission required new and revised pain assessment and management standards to improve quality and safety of care.²⁷⁷ The requirements speak to (1) prioritization of pain assessment and management as an organizational priority, (2) establishment of medical staff in leadership roles to address performance improvement activities related to patient safety, (3) assessment and management of patient pain and minimization of risks associated with treatment with opioids, (4) data collection to monitor performance related to patient safety, and (5) compilation and analysis of data to inform continued performance improvement.

Inpatient Pain Assessment

• The panel recommends that sedation assessment be conducted by nursing staff on all inpatients before and after administration of an opioid medication (strong recommendation, low-quality evidence).

In 2012, the Joint Commission issued a warning regarding adverse drug events associated with opioid analgesics, most importantly respiratory depression, among patients in the inpatient hospital setting.²⁷⁸ The incidence of opioid-induced respiratory depression ranges from 0.1% to 37%.²⁷⁹ Nurses are typically the first to detect respiratory depression.²⁸⁰ One cause of opioid-related adverse events, however, is inadequate monitoring of patients administered opioids, occurring in about a third of cases.^{278,280} Patient monitoring includes sedation assessments, frequency and quality of respirations, and electronic methods such as pulse oximetry. A survey of nurses belonging to the American Society for Pain Management Nursing²⁸¹ indicated that nurses find sedation scales and watching the patient to be more useful than electronic methods. However, although there is no evidence to inform the frequency of monitoring, sedation scale scores should be a major consideration in the decision to administer opioids for pain management. It is important to monitor sedation because it is an indicator of impending opioid-induced respiratory depression; detecting oversedation can prevent a more clinically significant adverse event. The Pasero²⁸² Opioid-induced Sedation Scale²⁸³ (Table 5), which has been validated for assessing sedation during opioid administration,²⁸⁴ is an example of a tool that can be used by nurses to assess patients before and after administration of prescription opioids.

Naloxone

• The panel recommends coprescribing of naloxone when factors that increase the risk of overdose are present (strong recommendation, low-quality evidence).

For patients prescribed opioids, risk mitigation strategies are an important consideration. Although limited evidence exists on the outcomes of prescribing naloxone in combination with opioids, distribution via community-based harm reduction programs has demonstrated a decreased risk of death due to opioid overdose.^{285–288} Most programs, however, have been conducted with illicit use populations with a focus on harm reduction as opposed to a patient safety focus for patients prescribed opioids for acute or chronic conditions. The *Centers for Disease Control and Prevention Guideline*

Score	Category	Intervention	
S	Sleepy, easy to arouse	Acceptable; no action necessary; may increase opioid dose if needed	
1	Awake and alert	Acceptable; no action necessary; may increase opioid dose if needed	
2	Slightly drowsy, easily aroused	Acceptable; no action necessary; may increase opioid dose if needed	
3	Frequently drowsy, arousable, drifts off to sleep during conversation	Unacceptable; monitor respiratory status and sedation level closely until sedation level is stable at less than 3 and respiratory status is satisfactory; decrease opioid dose 25%–50% or notify prescriber or anesthesiologist for orders; consider administering a nonsedating, opioid-sparing nonopioid, such as acetaminophen or an NSAID, if not contraindicated	
4	Somnolent, minimal or no response to verbal or physical stimulation	Unacceptable; stop opioid; consider administering naloxone; notify prescriber or anesthesiologist; monitor respiratory status and sedation level closely until sedation level is stable at less than 3 and respiratory status is satisfactory	

for Prescribing Opioids for Chronic Pain²⁵ recommends coprescribing or offering naloxone to patients with an increased risk of opioid overdose who are prescribed opioids. These risk factors include history of overdose or substance use disorder, opioid dosages \geq 50 MME/d, or coprescribing with benzodiazepines.

System Strategies

Prescription Drug Monitoring Programs

• The panel recommends that all prescribers register to gain access to their state's Prescription Drug Monitoring Program (PDMP) and regularly query the PDMP before prescribing opioids (strong recommendation, low-quality evidence).

PDMPs are databases that track scheduled medications dispensed from pharmacies. The databases were developed to reduce prescription drug misuse and diversion. The conceptual model of PDMPs assumes that increased monitoring of opioid prescriptions is associated with changes in opioid prescribing behavior, opioid diversion and supply, and opioid-related morbidity and mortality.²⁸⁹ Numerous unintended consequences of PDMPs have been described in the literature and include the following: (1) potential decrease in legitimate prescribing, (2) patient privacy concerns, (3) inability to connect patients with known aberrant use to resources, (4) potential increase in illegal prescription drug activity or users switching to other substances such as heroin, (5) further reduced patient visit time due to time required to check PDMP, and (6) potential decrease in patient satisfaction ratings.²⁹⁰ Finally, PDMPs vary tremendously from state to state based on (1) the number of schedules included, (2) the frequency of updates, (3) housing entities, (4) accessibility, (5) access requirements, (6) reactive and proactive reporting, (7) associated prescriber education, and (8) interstate data sharing.290

Four reviews of PDMPs have been published to date, 289-292 with the most recent one synthesizing articles published through 2015.289 Worley et al concluded that PDMPs were associated with lower substance abuse treatment admission rates, fewer opioid prescriptions, less diversion, and less "doctor shopping." The authors acknowledge, however, that results depend on the specific components of each unique PDMP and that evidence is limited.²⁹¹ Haegerich et al²⁹² believe PDMPs to be effective, but that effect sizes from the articles they reviewed were generally very low and may depend on specific PDMP components such as mandatory review or proactive reporting. Gugelmann et al²⁹⁰ concluded that PDMPs seem to have benefits including reduced per capita supply of opioids and fewer incidents reported to poison control centers; however, there are also studies showing no effect. Finally, Finley et al²⁸⁹ found no consistent pattern, with efficacy varying by state.

Several articles on PDMP efficacy have been published since 2015, and the results have been mixed as well. The Florida PDMP was associated with a 25% decrease in oxycodone-caused deaths,²⁹³ but a multistate study found that PDMPs were not associated with reduction in overdose deaths and were, in fact, sometimes associated with increased mortality from nonprescription opioid drugs, such as heroin.²⁹⁴ There

was also evidence of increased ED visits for heroin overdoses in New York, whereas visits for prescription opioid overdose leveled.²⁹⁵ In contrast, Dowell et al²⁵ found "relatively large but statistically insignificant reductions" in heroin overdose deaths, indicating that perhaps a decrease in opioids does not lead to an increase in heroin use.

Three studies on PDMP implementation found no association with decreased opioid prescribing,^{296–298} whereas 3 others found that PDMP implementation reduced opioid prescriptions^{25,299,300} and overdose deaths.²⁵ Some studies found PDMPs to be effective in specific groups, such as patients with multiple provider episodes (ie, "doctor shopping") whose prescribers were sent an unsolicited report by the state,³⁰¹ Medicare Part D enrollees,³⁰² and Medicaid patients.²⁹⁹ Finally, because of the variability in PDMPs by state, 1 study rated the strength of the PDMP and found that a 1% increase in PDMP strength was associated with a 1% decrease in overdose deaths, indicating room for improvement in outcomes for PDMPs of lower strength.³⁰³

Although the literature remains inconsistent, PDMPs are a promising intervention, especially when the PDMPs are of robust strength. We recommend checking the PDMP before prescribing. Steps must be taken, however, to alleviate the potential consequences of curtailing prescribing based on the results of a PDMP search, particularly the potential for patients to switch to heroin. Therefore, we recommend referring patients to behavioral health and addiction medicine if the PDMP indicates aberrant behaviors. Furthermore, the evidence does demonstrate that PDMPs are not a panacea for preventing prescription opioid misuse, abuse, and diversion.

Prescriber and Patient Education

• The panel recommends that departments support opioid education efforts for prescribers and patients (strong recommendation, moderate-quality evidence).

Physicians often lack training in pain management and addiction; 59% of physicians report medical school preparation regarding chronic pain treatment as "fair" or "poor,"³⁰⁴ and median instruction time spent on pain education in US medical schools is 11.1 hours compared with 27.6 hours in Canada.³⁰⁵ After graduate medical education, only 5 states (CT, IA, MD, SC, and TN) require physicians to obtain periodic continuing medical education (CME) on prescribing, substance use disorders, or pain management.³⁰⁶

The effectiveness of educational interventions for physicians is strong. A synthesis of reviews on CME education finds that studies on CME interventions consistently show improvement in both physician performance and patient health outcomes.³⁰⁷ The most effective CME sessions are interactive, use multiple methods, involve multiple exposures, and are longer.³⁰⁷ After New Mexico began requiring CME in 2012– 2013 about pain and addiction along with required PDMP registration and query, the state saw statistically significantly increased physician knowledge, self-efficacy, and attitudes, as well as a decrease in both statewide morphine milligram equivalents dispensed and drug overdose deaths.³⁰⁸ Online educational interventions have been moderately effective.³⁰⁹ Education in conjunction with clinical decision support is also effective at changing naloxone prescribing rates.³¹⁰ Other strategies described in the literature include brief one-on-one physician education,^{311,312} development and dissemination of guidelines and policies,^{313,314} and Risk Evaluation and Mitigation Strategy.³¹⁵ Public health detailing is an approach based on the pharmaceutical sales strategy, by which messages are pushed using brief one-on-one educational visits during the normal workflow. Staten Island saw a reduction in high-dose prescribing and stabilizing of days' supply after implementing this strategy.³¹¹ Similarly, an ED in Australia delivered one-on-one education via a clinical champion and was very effective at improving information given to patients, increasing notifications sent to general practitioners, reducing total dose prescribed, and incorporating nonopioid therapies.³¹² This approach is, however, resource intensive and has a limited scope of impact.

Development of department guidelines, policies, or both is another option. Hill et al described an intervention within surgical specialties at an academic medical center. which included dissemination of operation-specific opioid prescribing guidelines. This intervention significantly reduced the number of pills prescribed.³¹³ When a similar approach was implemented in the ED setting, the number of patients prescribed opioids and number of pills prescribed decreased by 40% and 15%, respectively, with reductions sustained over 2.5 years.³¹⁴ Finally, Risk Evaluation and Mitigation Strategies developed by the FDA in 2007 required pharmaceutical manufacturers to take steps to reduce risks associated with the medication. Strategies can include medication guides for patients, clinician education, and physician certification.³¹⁶ Both immediate-release and extended-release opioids are now subject to these regulations.³¹⁷ Thus, manufacturers are required to fund continuing education regarding opioid prescribing. Overall, the resulting SCOPE of Pain educational program has been shown to increase physician knowledge and reported intention to change practice.³¹⁸ The SCOPE of Pain program has also implemented a "train the trainer" approach, which facilitates wide dissemination of information.³¹⁵ Physicians are advised to be aware of potential conflicts of interest when attending pharmaceutical company-funded sessions.319

Overall, education is a necessary, but insufficient, approach to improving prescribing and patient outcomes. In addition, the literature is mostly limited to opioids for chronic pain management rather than acute or postsurgical pain. Regardless, we recommend supporting opioid education efforts both in graduate medical education and through continuing education.

Literature that focuses on evaluating the effects of patient education is limited, but the few studies conducted support effective patient education. Strategies included educational pamphlets,^{320–322} web-based interactive education,³²³ and clinician-delivered education.^{324,325} All interventions that included knowledge as an outcome demonstrated a significant effect,^{320,322,323,325} and many studies observed changes in risky behaviors, such as sharing pills,^{320,321,323} pill storage,³²⁰ saving and disposal of pills,^{320,321,323,324} driving,³²² and taking more medication than prescribed.³²³

Clinical Decision Support

• The panel recommends that prescribers, to the extent possible, develop, support, or both the implementation of clin-

ical decision support regarding opioid prescribing in the electronic medical record (strong recommendation, lowquality evidence).

We reviewed the literature on the impact of clinical informatics interventions on opioid prescribing. A total of 14 articles were identified that included prescribing outcomes, and the quality of the evidence was low. Most of the studies used study designs that did not have any concurrent control group. This is a significant weakness because of the national attention surrounding the opioid crisis currently in lay press, politics, and medicine. Without concurrent controls, the effects seen after implementation of these interventions could be overestimates if prescribing was already decreasing due to the current climate around opioids. There were, however, 2 randomized controlled trials that demonstrated an effect on some outcomes.^{326,327} Most of the 14 studies included patients in the ED^{326,328–331} or specifically for patients receiving chronic opioid therapy.^{327,332–334} Only 1 study assessed clinical decision support in an orthopaedic surgery population.³³⁵

There is a gap in the literature surrounding acute pain outside of the emergency department, other than after cesarean section³³⁶ and following hand surgery.³³⁵ This is an important area of research because a short course of opioid treatment for acute pain can often result in chronic opioid therapy.¹⁰

All these studies were conducted in urban settings or across a wide area including both urban and rural settings. It is critical to study these interventions in rural areas because they are substantially burdened with this epidemic.³³⁷ In addition, prescriber response to these interventions may differ in outlying hospitals and in practices that are not part of an academic hospital where prescribers are consistently exposed to new literature, new techniques, and other clinical innovations. In addition, numerous articles were identified that described clinical decision support regarding opioids but did not report on outcomes of the intervention.

Although these feasibility and implementation articles are important for fully describing interventions, decisions cannot be made regarding continuation, iterative improvement, or adoption of the intervention by another institution without evidence of efficacy. The lack of follow-up outcome articles could represent publication bias, whereby articles in the literature are more likely to have been effective. For example, only 1 study found no effect of the intervention,³³¹ whereas the rest of the interventions were effective,^{328,329,332–334,336,338,339} or mixed (had effect on some outcomes but not all).^{326,327,330,335,340} Finally, most studies included outcomes associated with prescriptions (ie, number of prescriptions, number of pills, average dose, number of risky concurrent prescriptions for opioids with benzodiazepines, and number of extended-release prescriptions).^{326,328,330,331,335,336,338–340} Others measured outcomes associated with safe prescribing (ie, urine drug screens, treatment agreement, functional assessments, risk assessments, and documented diagnosis).327,329,332-334 The conceptual framework implicitly presented is that these interventions lead to safer prescribing practices that lead to fewer high-risk prescriptions that in turn ultimately reduce the risk of misuse, abuse, or diversion of prescription opioids. However, no studies measured rates

of overdose, opioid use disorder, or other outcomes to demonstrate this pathway.

Despite the low-quality evidence, we strongly recommend pursuing clinical decision support to the extent possible. Potential approaches include power plans/order sets,^{331,335,340} dashboards,^{332,338,339} risk assessment and screening,^{327,329,333} alerts,^{326,328,330} and other decision support.^{334,336,339}

Order set interventions could include recommended pain management regimens and dosing based on patient characteristics,³⁴⁰ prepopulating the dosing at a minimum rather than a range (ie, 1 pill 4× per day rather than 1–2 pills 4–6 times per day),³³⁵ and including nonopioid medication options.³³⁵

Dashboards are useful for tracking physician adherence to guidelines and protocols. They are particularly useful because they provide actionable information to the prescriber.³⁴¹ For example, a prescriber can see what patients are due for a certain screening and conduct the appropriate screening at the patient's next visit. Dashboards can also promote transparency, accountability, and natural competition by which prescribers compare their statistics with those of their partners, leading to improved performance.³⁴² Dashboards vary in the metrics tracked (eg, urine drug screens, pain agreements, functional status assessment, visits with behavioral health providers, high-dose opioids, and concurrent opioids and benzodiazepines).^{332,338} Dashboards also vary regarding the level of integration into workflow. Some are housed on the intranet for prescribers to access on demand,³³² whereas others are "pushed" to prescribers at defined time intervals.^{332,338}

Many risk assessment tools are accessible that indicate the risk of opioid abuse, misuse, and diversion. Available tools include the Opioid Risk Tool,343 the Screener and Opioid Assessment for Patients with Pain,³⁴⁴ the Drug Abuse Screening Test,³⁴⁵ the Brief Risk Interview,³⁴⁶ and the Current Opioid Misuse Measure.347 In addition, guidelines recommend that providers screen patients before prescribing opioids, although the Centers for Disease Control and Prevention guidelines caution against placing full confidence in the sensitivity and specificity of these screening tools because consequences of underestimation or overestimation of risk can be significant.³⁴⁸ An electronic risk assessment program called Pain Assessment Interview Network, Clinical Advisory System (PainCAS)^{327,333} is completed by the patient before their visit, either at home or on registration at the clinic, and includes the Screener and Opioid Assessment for Patients with Pain and Current Opioid Misuse Measure, both validated instruments. Once completed, administrative staff uploads the report to that patient's electronic medical record. Another electronic assessment is a short 3-item screening for tobacco, alcohol, and drug use that is programmed into the electronic triage tool in the ED.329 These studies report a significant increase in screening and documentation; however, their use does not seem to alter patient clinical outcomes.

Alerts were originally developed to reduce adverse drug events by alerting the provider to contraindications or allergies associated with medications.^{349–351} Since then, alerts have been developed for additional situations, including opioid risk. It is critical when developing alerts to ensure information is meaningful and does not trigger at unacceptable rates, thus causing "alert fatigue."³⁵² Alerts may include patient risk factors,³²⁸ suggest nonopioid medications or nonpharmaceutical modalities,³²⁸ inform the prescriber that the patient was referred to pain management,³³⁰ or inform the prescriber that the patient has an existing opioid care plan.³²⁶

Other examples of decision support implemented in the included articles include "smart set" documentation, a patient-facing tablet decision aid, and comprehensive prescribing tools. "Smart set" documentation standardizes practices by walking prescribers through the appropriate prescribing policies.³³⁴ Similarly, another study described implementation of a large set of decision aids into the electronic medical record as part of Safe and Appropriate Opioid Prescribing Program.³³⁹ Aids included medication menus, medication alerts, preferred and maximum doses, links to guidelines, prompts for alternative treatments and medications, patient treatment agreements, and a link to the PDMP. Finally, 1 article discussed a patient-facing decision aid in which patients used a tablet-based decision tool to learn about postcesarean pain and oxycodone to guide her in making decisions about the number of pills she wanted.³³⁶

These approaches are promising interventions to improve patient safety and reduce opioid prescribing. Many of these interventions included multiple components in addition to the electronic tool such as pocket cards, educational sessions, prescribing policies, care plans, and patient-facing pain policies.^{326,328,335,339,340} Although a multipronged intervention has a greater likelihood of success, it is challenging to identify the unique contribution of the electronic tool in each case.

CONCLUSIONS

Balancing comfort and patient safety following acute musculoskeletal injury is possible when using a true multimodal approach including cognitive, physical, and pharmaceutical strategies. In this document, we attempt to provide practical, evidence-based guidance for clinicians in both the operative and nonoperative settings to address acute pain from musculoskeletal injury. We also organized and graded the evidence to both support recommendations and identify gap areas for future research.

ACKNOWLEDGMENTS

The authors acknowledge the following individuals who helped in the development and preparation of these Clinical Practice Guidelines: Donald T. Kirkendall, ELS (a contracted medical editor).

REFERENCES

- Rudd R, Aleshire N, Zibbell J, et al. Increases in drug and opioid overdose deaths—United States, 2000–2014. *MMWR Morb Mortal Wkly Rep.* 2016;64:1378–1382.
- Rudd RA, Seth P, David F, et al. Increases in drug and opioid-involved overdose deaths—United States, 2010–2015. *MMWR Morb Mortal Wkly Rep.* 2016;65:1445–1452.

- Centers for Disease Control and Prevention. Pocket guide: tapering opioids for chronic pain. Available at: https://www.cdc.gov/drugoverdose/pdf/ clinical_pocket_guide_tapering-a.pdf. Accessed January 15, 2018.
- Dowell D, Arias E, Kochanek K, et al. Contribution of opioid-involved poisoning to the change in life expectancy in the United States, 2000– 2015. JAMA. 2017;318:1065–1067.
- Centers for Disease Control and Prevention. Prescribing data. Available at: www.cdc.gov/drugoverdose/data/prescribing.html. Accessed September 20, 2017.
- Volkow ND, McLellan TA, Cotto JH. Characteristics of opioid prescriptions in 2009. JAMA. 2011;305:1299–1300.
- Daubresse M, Chang H, Yu Y, et al. Ambulatory diagnosis and treatment of nonmalignant pain in the United States, 2000–2010. *Med Care*. 2013;51:870–878.
- Bicket MC, Long JJ, Pronovost PJ, et al. Prescription opioid analgesics commonly unused after surgery: a systematic review. *JAMA Surg.* 2017;152:1066–1071.
- Kim N, Matzon JL, Abboudi J, et al. A prospective evaluation of opioid utilization after upper-extremity surgical procedures: identifying consumption patterns and determining prescribing guidelines. J Bone Joint Surg Am. 2016;98:e89.
- Hooten WM, St Sauver JL, McGree ME, et al. Incidence and risk factors for progression from short-term to episodic or long-term opioid prescribing: a population-based study. *Mayo Clin Proc.* 2015;90:850– 856.
- Braden J, Fan M, Edlund M, et al. Trends in use of opioids by noncancer pain type 2000–2005 among Arkansas Medicaid and HealthCore enrollees: results from the TROUP study. J Pain. 2008;9:1026–1035.
- Martin BC, Fan MY, Edlund MJ, et al. Long-term chronic opioid therapy discontinuation rates from the TROUP study. J Gen Intern Med. 2011;26:1450–1457.
- Von Korff M, Saunders K, Thomas Ray G, et al. De facto long term opioid therapy for noncancer pain. *Clin J Pain*. 2008;24:521–527.
- GRADE Working Group. The grading of recommendations assessment, development and evaluation. Available at: http://www. gradeworkinggroup.org/. Accessed October 15, 2017.
- American Academy of Family Physicians. AAFP chronic pain management toolkit. Available at: https://www.aafp.org/dam/AAFP/ documents/patient_care/pain_management/cpm-toolkit.pdf. Accessed August 22, 2018.
- American Academy of Orthopaedic Surgeons. Pain relief toolkit. Available at: https://www.aaos.org/Quality/PainReliefToolkit/?ssopc=1. Accessed October 15, 2017.
- American Academy of Pain Medicine. Use of opioids for the treatment of chronic pain: a statement from the American academy of pain medicine. Available at: http://www.painmed.org/files/use-of-opioids-forthe-treatment-of-chronic-pain.pdf. Accessed October 1, 2017.
- American College of Obstetricians and Gynecologists. ACOG committee opinion summary. Opioid use and opioid use disorder in pregnancy. Available at: https://www.acog.org/Clinical-Guidance-and-Publications/Committee-Opinions/Committee-on-Obstetric-Practice/ Opioid-Use-and-Opioid-Use-Disorder-in-Pregnancy. Accessed October 1, 2017.
- American Society of Addiction Medicine. ASAM National practice guideline for the use of medications in the treatment of addiction involving opioid use. Available at: https://www.asam.org/docs/default-source/ practice-support/guidelines-and-consensus-docs/asam-nationalpractice-guideline-supplement.pdf. Accessed October 1, 2017.
- American Society of Anesthesiologists Task Force on Acute Pain Management. Practice guidelines for acute pain management in the perioperative setting: an updated report by the American society of Anesthesiologists Task Force on acute pain management. *Anesthesiol*ogy. 2012;116:248–273.
- 21. American Society of Anesthesiologists Task Force on Neuraxial Opioids, American Society of Regional Anesthesia and Pain Medicine. Practice guidelines for the prevention, detection, and management of respiratory depression associated with neuraxial opioid administration: an updated report by the American society of Anesthesiologists Task Force on neuraxial opioids and the American society of regional anesthesia and pain medicine. *Anesthesiology*. 2016;124:535–552.
- 22. Busse JW, Guyatt G, Carrasco A, et al. The 2017 Canadian guideline for opioids for chronic non-cancer pain. Available at: http://

nationalpaincentre.mcmaster.ca/documents/Opioid%20GL%20for% 20CMAJ_01may2017.pdf. Accessed October 15, 2017.

- Centers for Disease Control and Prevention. Calculating total daily dose of opioids for safer dosage. Available at: https://www.cdc.gov/ drugoverdose/pdf/calculating_total_daily_dose-a.pdf. Accessed August 22, 2018.
- Chou R, Fanciullo GJ, Fine PG, et al. Clinical guidelines for the use of chronic opioid therapy in chronic noncancer pain. *J Pain.* 2009;10:113– 130.
- Dowell D, Haegerich T, Chou R. CDC guideline for prescribing opioids for chronic pain—United States, 2016. MMWR Recomm Rep. 2016;65: 1–49.
- 26. Federation of State Medical Boards of the United States I. Model policy on the use of opioid analgesics in the treatment of chronic pain. Available at: https://www.fsmb.org/Media/Default/PDF/Advocacy/Opioid% 20Guidelines%20As%20Adopted%20April%202017_FINAL.pdf. Accessed October 1, 2017.
- Federation of State Medical Boards of the United States I. Guidelines for the chronic use of opioid analgesics. Available at: https://www. fsmb.org/Media/Default/PDF/Advocacy/Opioid%20Guidelines%20As %20Adopted%20April%202017_FINAL.pdf. Accessed June 1, 2017.
- 28. Hauk L. Management of chronic pain and opioid misuse: a position paper from the AAFP. *Am Fam Physician*. 2017;95:458–459.
- ICSI Patient Advisory Council. Pain: assessment, non-opioid treatment approaches and opioid management guideline (2016). Available at: https://www.icsi.org/_asset/ypv5rn/2016painsealevidence.pdf. Accessed October 1, 2017.
- Intermountain Healthcare. Clinical guideline: acute pain opioid prescribing guidelines. Available at: https://intermountainphysician.org/ Documents/AcutePainOpioidPrescribing_FINAL.pdf. Accessed October 1, 2017.
- Massachusetts Medical Society. Opioid therapy and physician communication guidelines. Available at: http://www.massmed.org/opioidguidelines/#.W43RqH4nbiw. Accessed October 1, 2017.
- 32. American Society of Anesthesiologists Task Force on Neuraxial Opioids. Practice guidelines for the prevention, detection, and management of respiratory depression associated with neuraxial opioid administration. *Anesthesiology*. 2009;110:218–230.
- 33. Oregon Pain Guidance Group. Oregon acute pain flow sheet for the evaluation and treatment of acute pain. Available at: https://www. oregonpainguidance.org/app/content/uploads/2016/05/Acute-and-Chronic-Pain-flow-sheets.pdf. Accessed August 22, 2018.
- Pennsylvania Orthopaedic Society. Opioid recommendations for acute pain. Available at: https://www.paorthosociety.org/resources/ Documents/POS%20Opioid%20Statement%20and% 20Recommendations%20Final.pdf. Accessed August 28, 2018.
- Substance Abuse and Mental Health Services Administration. SAMH-SA opioid overdose prevention toolkit. Available at: https://store. samhsa.gov/shin/content//SMA16-4742/SMA16-4742.pdf. Accessed October 1, 2017.
- U.S. Department of Veterans Affairs. Pain management opioid safety educational guide. Available at: https://www.va.gov/ PAINMANAGEMENT/docs/OSI_1_Toolkit_Pain_Educational_Guide. pdf. Accessed October 1, 2017.
- U.S. Department of Veterans Affairs. Pain management opioid taper decision tool: a VA clinician's guide. Available at: https://www.pbm. va.gov/AcademicDetailingService/Documents/Pain_Opioid_Taper_ Tool_IB_10_939_P96820.pdf. Accessed October 1, 2017.
- Washington State Agency Medical Directors' Group. Interagency guideline on prescribing opioids for pain. Available at: http://www. agencymeddirectors.wa.gov/Files/2015AMDGOpioidGuideline.pdf. Accessed October 1, 2017.
- World Health Organization. Scoping document for WHO guidelines for the pharmacological treatment of persisting pain in adults with medical illnesses. Available at: http://www.who.int/medicines/areas/quality_ safety/Scoping_WHO_GLs_PersistPainAdults_webversion.pdf. Accessed October 1, 2017.
- Menendez ME, Ring D. Factors associated with greater pain intensity. Hand Clin. 2016;32:27–31.
- Golkari S, Teunis T, Ring D, et al. Changes in depression, health anxiety, and pain catastrophizing between enrollment and 1 Month after a radius fracture. *Psychosomatics*. 2015;56:652–657.

- Sullivan MJ, Thorn B, Haythornthwaite JA, et al. Theoretical perspectives on the relation between catastrophizing and pain. *Clin J Pain*. 2001;17:52–64.
- Archer KR, Castillo RC, Wegener ST, et al. Pain and satisfaction in hospitalized trauma patients: the importance of self-efficacy and psychological distress. *J Trauma Acute Care Surg.* 2012;72:1068–1077.
- Bot AG, Bekkers S, Arnstein PM, et al. Opioid use after fracture surgery correlates with pain intensity and satisfaction with pain relief. *Clin Orthop Relat Res.* 2014;472:2542–2549.
- Nota SP, Spit SA, Voskuyl T, et al. Opioid use, satisfaction, and pain intensity after orthopedic surgery. *Psychosomatics*. 2015;56:479–485.
- Bot AG, Bekkers S, Herndon JH, et al. Determinants of disability after proximal interphalangeal joint sprain or dislocation. *Psychosomatics*. 2014;55:595–601.
- Briet JP, Houwert RM, Hageman M, et al. Factors associated with pain intensity and physical limitations after lateral ankle sprains. *Injury*. 2016;47:2565–2569.
- Das De S, Vranceanu AM, Ring DC. Contribution of kinesophobia and catastrophic thinking to upper-extremity-specific disability. *J Bone Joint* Surg Am. 2013;95:76–81.
- 49. Farzad M, Asgari A, Dashab F, et al. Does disability correlate with impairment after hand injury? *Clin Orthop Relat Res.* 2015;473: 3470–3476.
- Finger A, Teunis T, Hageman MG, et al. Association between opioid intake and disability after surgical management of ankle fractures. *J Am Acad Orthop Surg.* 2017;25:519–526.
- Kadzielski JJ, Bot AG, Ring D. The influence of job satisfaction, burnout, pain, and worker's compensation status on disability after finger injuries. J Hand Surg Am. 2012;37:1812–1819.
- Teunis T, Bot AG, Thornton ER, et al. Catastrophic thinking is associated with finger stiffness after distal radius fracture surgery. J Orthop Trauma. 2015;29:e414–420.
- Vranceanu A, Bachoura A, Weening A, et al. Psychological factors predict disability and pain intensity after skeletal trauma. *J Bone Joint Surg Am.* 2014;96:e20.
- Carragee EJ, Vittum D, Truong TP, et al. Pain control and cultural norms and expectations after closed femoral shaft fractures. *Am J Orthop (Belle Mead NJ)*. 1999;28:97–102.
- Helmerhorst GT, Lindenhovius AL, Vrahas M, et al. Satisfaction with pain relief after operative treatment of an ankle fracture. *Injury*. 2012; 43:1958–1961.
- Helmerhorst GT, Vranceanu AM, Vrahas M, et al. Risk factors for continued opioid use one to two months after surgery for musculoskeletal trauma. J Bone Joint Surg Am. 2014;96:495–499.
- Lindenhovius AL, Helmerhorst GT, Schnellen AC, et al. Differences in prescription of narcotic pain medication after operative treatment of hip and ankle fractures in the United States and The Netherlands. *J Trauma*. 2009;67:160–164.
- Archer KR, Abraham CM, Obremskey WT. Psychosocial factors predict pain and physical health after lower extremity trauma. *Clin Orthop Relat Res.* 2015;473:3519–3526.
- Archer KR, Abraham CM, Song Y, et al. Cognitive-behavioral determinants of pain and disability two years after traumatic injury: a crosssectional survey study. J Trauma Acute Care Surg. 2012;72:473–479.
- Archer KR, Heins SE, Abraham CM, et al. Clinical significance of pain at hospital discharge following traumatic orthopedic injury: general health, depression, and PTSD outcomes at 1 year. *Clin J Pain.* 2016; 32:196–202.
- Castillo R, MacKenzie E, Wegener S, et al. Prevalence of chronic pain seven years following limb threatening lower extremity trauma. *Pain*. 2006;124:321–329.
- Castillo R, Wegener S, Heins S, et al. Longitudinal relationships between anxiety, depression, and pain: results from a two-year cohort study of lower extremity trauma patients. *Pain*. 2013;154:2860–2866.
- Clay FJ, Watson WL, Newstead SV, et al. A systematic review of early prognostic factors for persisting pain following acute orthopedic trauma. *Pain Res Manag.* 2012;17:35–44.
- Crichlow RJ, Andres PL, Morrison SM, et al. Depression in orthopaedic trauma patients. Prevalence and severity. *J Bone Joint Surg Am.* 2006; 88:1927–1933.

- Edwards RR, Dworkin RH, Sullivan MD, et al. The role of psychosocial processes in the development and maintenance of chronic pain. J Pain. 2016;17:T70–T92.
- Gopinath B, Jagnoor J, Nicholas M, et al. Presence and predictors of persistent pain among persons who sustained an injury in a road traffic crash. *Eur J Pain*. 2015;19:1111–1118.
- Hanley MA, Jensen MP, Ehde DM, et al. Psychosocial predictors of long-term adjustment to lower-limb amputation and phantom limb pain. *Disabil Rehabil*. 2004;26:882–893.
- McCarthy ML, MacKenzie EJ, Edwin D, et al. Psychological distress associated with severe lower-limb injury. *J Bone Joint Surg Am.* 2003; 85-A:1689–1697.
- 69. Nota SP, Bot AG, Ring D, et al. Disability and depression after orthopaedic trauma. *Injury*. 2015;46:207–212.
- Ponsford J, Hill B, Karamitsios M, et al. Factors influencing outcome after orthopedic trauma. J Trauma. 2008;64:1001–1009.
- Schweininger S, Forbes D, Creamer M, et al. The temporal relationship between mental health and disability after injury. *Depress Anxiety*. 2015;32:64–71.
- Soberg HL, Bautz-Holter E, Roise O, et al. Mental health and posttraumatic stress symptoms 2 years after severe multiple trauma: selfreported disability and psychosocial functioning. *Arch Phys Med Rehabil.* 2010;91:481–488.
- 73. van Leeuwen WF, van der Vliet QM, Janssen SJ, et al. Does perceived injustice correlate with pain intensity and disability in orthopaedic trauma patients? *Injury*. 2016;47:1212–1216.
- Warren AM, Foreman ML, Bennett MM, et al. Posttraumatic stress disorder following traumatic injury at 6 months: associations with alcohol use and depression. J Trauma Acute Care Surg. 2014;76:517–522.
- Wegener S, Castillo R, Haythornthwaite J, et al. Psychological distress mediates the effect of pain on function. *Pain*. 2011;152:1349–1357.
- Williams AE, Newman JT, Ozer K, et al. Posttraumatic stress disorder and depression negatively impact general health status after hand injury. *J Hand Surg Am.* 2009;34:515–522.
- 77. Zatzick DF, Jurkovich GJ, Fan MY, et al. Association between posttraumatic stress and depressive symptoms and functional outcomes in adolescents followed up longitudinally after injury hospitalization. *Arch Pediatr Adolesc Med.* 2008;162:642–648.
- Merskey H, Bogduk N. Classification of Chronic Pain: Descriptions of Chronic Pain Syndromes and Definitions of Pain Terms. Washington, DC: IASP Press; 1994.
- Andersson AL, Dahlback LO, Bunketorp O. Psychosocial aspects of road traffic trauma—benefits of an early intervention? *Injury*. 2005;36: 917–926.
- Berube M, Choiniere M, Laflamme YG, et al. Acute to chronic pain transition in extremity trauma: a narrative review for future preventive interventions (part 1). *Int J Orthop Trauma Nurs.* 2016;23:47–59.
- Berube M, Choiniere M, Laflamme YG, et al. Acute to chronic pain transition in extremity trauma: a narrative review for future preventive interventions (part 2). Int J Orthop Trauma Nurs. 2017;24:59–67.
- Berube M, Gelinas C, Martorella G, et al. A hybrid web-based and inperson self-management intervention to prevent acute to chronic pain transition after major lower extremity trauma (iPACT-E-Trauma): protocol for a pilot single-blind randomized controlled trial. *JMIR Res Protoc.* 2017;6:e125.
- Bisson JI, Shepherd JP, Joy D, et al. Early cognitive-behavioural therapy for post-traumatic stress symptoms after physical injury. Randomised controlled trial. *Br J Psychiatry*. 2004;184:63–69.
- Campbell L, Kenardy J, Andersen T, et al. Trauma-focused cognitive behaviour therapy and exercise for chronic whiplash: protocol of a randomised, controlled trial. *J Physiother*. 2015;61:218.
- Castillo RC, Raja SN, Frey KP, et al. Improving pain management and long-term outcomes following high-energy orthopaedic trauma (pain study). J Orthop Trauma. 2017;31(suppl 1):S71–s77.
- Chad-Friedman E, Talaei-Khoei M, Ring D, et al. First use of a brief 60second mindfulness exercise in an orthopedic surgical practice; results from a pilot study. *Arch Bone Jt Surg.* 2017;5:400–405.
- De Silva M, Maclachlan M, Devane D, et al. Psychosocial interventions for the prevention of disability following traumatic physical injury. *Cochrane Database Syst Rev.* 2009;Cd006422. doi: 10.1002/ 14651858.CD006422.pub3.

- Goudie S, Dixon D, McMillan G, et al. Is use of a psychological workbook associated with improved disabilities of the arm, shoulder and hand scores in patients with distal radius fracture? *Clin Orthop Relat Res.* 2018;476:832–845.
- Holmes A, Hodgins G, Adey S, et al. Trial of interpersonal counselling after major physical trauma. Aust N. Z J Psychiatry. 2007;41:926–933.
- Pirente N, Blum C, Wortberg S, et al. Quality of life after multiple trauma: the effect of early onset psychotherapy on quality of life in trauma patients. *Langenbecks Arch Surg.* 2007;392:739–745.
- Turpin G, Downs M, Mason S. Effectiveness of providing self-help information following acute traumatic injury: randomised controlled trial. *Br J Psychiatry*. 2005;187:76–82.
- 92. Vranceanu A, Hageman M, Strooker J, et al. A preliminary RCT of a mind body skills based intervention addressing mood and coping strategies in patients with acute orthopaedic trauma. *Injury*. 2015;46: 552–557.
- Zatzick D, Roy-Byrne P, Russo J, et al. A randomized effectiveness trial of stepped collaborative care for acutely injured trauma survivors. *Arch Gen Psychiatry*. 2004;61:498–506.
- Zatzick DF, Roy-Byrne P, Russo JE, et al. Collaborative interventions for physically injured trauma survivors: a pilot randomized effectiveness trial. *Gen Hosp Psychiatry*. 2001;23:114–123.
- Ong AD, Zautra AJ, Reid MC. Psychological resilience predicts decreases in pain catastrophizing through positive emotions. *Psychol Aging*. 2010;25:516–523.
- Walsh MV, Armstrong TW, Poritz J, et al. Resilience, pain interference, and upper limb loss: testing the mediating effects of positive emotion and activity restriction on distress. *Arch Phys Med Rehabil.* 2016;97: 781–787.
- Eccleston C, Fisher E, Craig L, et al. Psychological therapies (Internetdelivered) for the management of chronic pain in adults. *Cochrane Database Syst Rev.* 2014;Cd010152. doi: 10.1002/14651858. CD010152.pub2.
- Macea DD, Gajos K, Daglia Calil YA, et al. The efficacy of web-based cognitive behavioral interventions for chronic pain: a systematic review and meta-analysis. *J Pain*. 2010;11:917–929.
- Palermo TM, Eccleston C, Lewandowski AS, et al. Randomized controlled trials of psychological therapies for management of chronic pain in children and adolescents: an updated meta-analytic review. *Pain*. 2010;148:387–397.
- Bradt J, Dileo C, Potvin N. Music for stress and anxiety reduction in coronary heart disease patients. *Cochrane Database Syst Rev.* 2013; Cd006577. doi: 10.1002/14651858.CD006577.pub3.
- 101. Lee YL, Wu Y, Tsang HW, et al. A systematic review on the anxiolytic effects of aromatherapy in people with anxiety symptoms. J Altern Complement Med. 2011;17:101–108.
- Lakhan SE, Sheafer H, Tepper D. The effectiveness of aromatherapy in reducing pain: a systematic review and meta-analysis. *Pain Res Treat*. 2016;2016:8158693.
- 103. Chou R, Gordon DB, de Leon-Casasola OA, et al. Management of postoperative pain: a clinical practice guideline from the American pain society, the American society of regional anesthesia and pain medicine, and the American society of anesthesiologists' committee on regional anesthesia, executive committee, and administrative council. *J Pain.* 2016;17:131–157.
- 104. Bjordal J, Johnson M, Ljunggreen A. Transcutaneous electrical nerve stimulation (TENS) can reduce postoperative analgesic consumption. A meta-analysis with assessment of optimal treatment parameters for postoperative pain. *Eur J Pain*. 2003;7:181–188.
- 105. Tedesco D, Gori D, Desai KR, et al. Drug-free interventions to reduce pain or opioid consumption after total knee arthroplasty: a systematic review and meta-analysis. *JAMA Surg.* 2017;152:e172872.
- 106. Rakel BA, Zimmerman MB, Geasland K, et al. Transcutaneous electrical nerve stimulation for the control of pain during rehabilitation after total knee arthroplasty: a randomized, blinded, placebo-controlled trial. *Pain.* 2014;155:2599–2611.
- 107. Mahure SA, Rokito AS, Kwon YW. Transcutaneous electrical nerve stimulation for postoperative pain relief after arthroscopic rotator cuff repair: a prospective double-blinded randomized trial. *J Shoulder Elbow Surg.* 2017;26:1508–1513.
- 108. Hamza MA, White PF, Ahmed HE, et al. Effect of the frequency of transcutaneous electrical nerve stimulation on the postoperative opioid

analgesic requirement and recovery profile. *Anesthesiology*. 1999;91: 1232–1238.

- Benedetti F, Amanzio M, Casadio C, et al. Control of postoperative pain by transcutaneous electrical nerve stimulation after thoracic operations. *Ann Thorac Surg.* 1997;63:773–776.
- Zeng C, Li H, Yang T, et al. Electrical stimulation for pain relief in knee osteoarthritis: systematic review and network meta-analysis. *Osteoarthritis Cartilage*. 2015;23:189–202.
- Deal D, Tipton J, Rosencrance E, et al. Ice reduces edema: a study of microvascular permeability in rats. *J Bone Joint Surg.* 2002;84-A:1573– 1578.
- Schaser KD, Stover JF, Melcher I, et al. Local cooling restores microcirculatory hemodynamics after closed soft-tissue trauma in rats. J Trauma. 2006;61:642–649.
- Kenjo T, Kikuchi S, Konno S. Cooling decreases fos-immunoreactivity in the rat after formalin injection. *Clin Orthop Rel Res.* 2002;394:271– 277.
- 114. Schaser KD, Disch AC, Stover JF, et al. Prolonged superficial local cryotherapy attenuates microcirculatory impairment, regional inflammation, and muscle necrosis after closed soft tissue injury in rats. Am J Sports Med. 2007;35:93–102.
- 115. Scumpia PO, Sarcia PJ, Kelly KM, et al. Hypothermia induces antiinflammatory cytokines and inhibits nitric oxide and myeloperoxidasemediated damage in the hearts of endotoxemic rats. *Chest.* 2004;125: 1483–1491.
- 116. Stalman A, Berglund L, Dungnerc E, et al. Temperature-sensitive release of prostaglandin E(2) and diminished energy requirements in synovial tissue with postoperative cryotherapy: a prospective randomized study after knee arthroscopy. *J Bone Joint Surg Am.* 2011;93: 1961–1968.
- 117. Ho S, Coel M, Kagawa R, et al. The effects of ice on blood flow and bone metabolism in knees. *Am J Sports Med.* 1994;22:537–540.
- Ho S, Illgen R, Meyer R, et al. Comparison of various icing times in decreasing bone metabolism and blood flow in the knee. *Am J Sports Med.* 1995;23:74–76.
- Knobloch K, Grasemann R, Jagodzinski M, et al. Changes of Achilles midportion tendon microcirculation after repetitive simultaneous cryotherapy and compression using a Cryo/Cuff. *Am J Sports Med.* 2006;34: 1953–1959.
- White GE, Wells GD. Cold-water immersion and other forms of cryotherapy: physiological changes potentially affecting recovery from high-intensity exercise. *Extrem Physiol Med.* 2013;2:26.
- Algafly A, George K. The effect of cryotherapy on nerve conduction velocity, pain threshold and pain tolerance. *Br J Sports Med.* 2007;41: 365–369.
- 122. Adie S, Kwan A, Naylor J, et al. Cryotherapy following total knee replacement. *Cochrane Database Syst Rev.* 2012;CD007911. doi: 10. 1002/14651858.CD007911.pub2.
- 123. Brandsson S, Rydgren B, Hedner T, et al. Postoperative analgesic effects of an external cooling system and intra-articular bupivacaine/ morphine after arthroscopic cruciate ligament surgery. *Knee Surg Sports Traumatol Arthrosc.* 1996;4:200–205.
- 124. Kuyucu E, Bulbul M, Kara A, et al. Is cold therapy really efficient after knee arthroplasty? Ann Med Surg (Lond). 2015;4:475–478.
- Levy A, Marmar E. The role of cold compression dressings in the postoperative treatment of total knee arthroplasty. *Clin Orthop Rel Res.* 1993;297:174–178.
- Morsi E. Continuous-flow cold therapy after total knee arthroplasty. J Arthroplasty. 2002;17:718–722.
- Ohkoshi Y, Ohkoshi M, Nagasaki S, et al. The effect of cryotherapy on intraarticular temperature and postoperative care after anterior cruciate ligament reconstruction. *Am J Sports Med.* 1999;27:357–362.
- 128. Raynor MC, Pietrobon R, Guller U, et al. Cryotherapy after ACL reconstruction: a meta-analysis. *J Knee Surg.* 2005;18:123–129.
- Saito N, Horiuchi H, Kobayashi S, et al. Continuous local cooling for pain relief following total hip arthroplasty. *J Arthroplasty*. 2004;19: 334–337.
- Singh H, Osbahr D, Holovacs T, et al. The efficacy of continuous cryotherapy on the postoperative shoulder: a prospective, randomized investigation. J Shoulder Elbow Surg. 2001;10:522–525.
- Speer K, Warren R, Horowitz L. The efficacy of cryotherapy in the postoperative shoulder. J Shoulder Elbow Surg. 1996;5:62–68.

- Webb JM, Williams D, Ivory JP, et al. The use of cold compression dressings after total knee replacement: a randomized controlled trial. *Orthopedics*. 1998;21:59–61.
- Barber F, McGuire D, Click S. Continuous-flow cold therapy for outpatient anterior cruciate ligament reconstruction. *Arthroscopy*. 1998;14: 130–135.
- Daniel DM, Stone ML, Arendt DL. The effect of cold therapy on pain, swelling, and range of motion after anterior cruciate ligament reconstructive surgery. *Arthroscopy*. 1994;10:530–533.
- Gibbons C, Solan M, Ricketts D. Cryotherapy compared with Robert Jones bandage after total knee replacement: a prospective randomized trial. *Int Orthop.* 2001;25:250–252.
- Kullenberg B, Ylipaa S, Soderlund K, et al. Postoperative cryotherapy after total knee arthroplasty: a prospective study of 86 patients. J Arthroplasty. 2006;21:1175–1179.
- 137. Wittig-Wells D, Johnson I, Samms-McPherson J, et al. Does the use of a brief cryotherapy intervention with analgesic administration improve pain management after total knee arthroplasty? *Orthop Nurs.* 2015;34: 148–153.
- Holmstrom A, Hardin BC. Cryo/Cuff compared to epidural anesthesia after knee unicompartmental arthroplasty: a prospective, randomized and controlled study of 60 patients with a 6-week follow-up. J Arthroplasty. 2005;20:316–321.
- Dervin GF, Taylor DE, Keene GC. Effects of cold and compression dressings on early postoperative outcomes for the arthroscopic anterior cruciate ligament reconstruction patient. J Orthop Sports Phys Ther. 1998;27:403–406.
- 140. Edwards DJ, Rimmer M, Keene GC. The use of cold therapy in the postoperative management of patients undergoing arthroscopic anterior cruciate ligament reconstruction. *Am J Sports Med.* 1996;24:193–195.
- 141. Walker RH, Morris BA, Angulo DL, et al. Postoperative use of continuous passive motion, transcutaneous electrical nerve stimulation, and continuous cooling pad following total knee arthroplasty. J Arthroplasty. 1991;6:151–156.
- 142. Bech M, Moorhen J, Cho M, et al. Device or ice: the effect of consistent cooling using a device compared with intermittent cooling using an ice bag after total knee arthroplasty. *Physiother Can.* 2015;67:48–55.
- Demoulin C, Brouwers M, Darot S, et al. Comparison of gaseous cryotherapy with more traditional forms of cryotherapy following total knee arthroplasty. *Ann Phys Rehabil Med.* 2012;55:229–240.
- 144. Desteli EE, Imren Y, Aydin N. Effect of both preoperative andpostoperative cryoceutical treatment on hemostasis and postoperative pain following total knee arthroplasty. *Int J Clin Exp Med.* 2015;8:19150– 19155.
- 145. Kraeutler MJ, Reynolds KA, Long C, et al. Compressive cryotherapy versus ice-a prospective, randomized study on postoperative pain in patients undergoing arthroscopic rotator cuff repair or subacromial decompression. J Shoulder Elbow Surg. 2015;24:854–859.
- 146. Ruffilli A, Castagnini F, Traina F, et al. Temperature-controlled continuous cold flow device after total knee arthroplasty: a randomized controlled trial study. *J Knee Surg.* 2017;30:675–681.
- 147. Smith J, Stevens J, Taylor M, et al. A randomized, controlled trial comparing compression bandaging and cold therapy in postoperative total knee replacement surgery. *Orthop Nurs.* 2002;21:61–66.
- 148. Su E, Perna M, Boettner F, et al. A prospective, multi-center, randomized trial to evaluate the efficacy of a cryopneumatic device on total knee arthroplasty recovery. J Bone Joint Surg Br. 2012;94-B:153–156.
- Thienpont E. Does advanced cryotherapy reduce pain and narcotic consumption after knee arthroplasty? *Clin Orthop Rel Res.* 2014;472: 3417–3423.
- Whitelaw GP, DeMuth KA, Demos HA, et al. The use of the Cryo/Cuff versus ice and elastic wrap in the postoperative care of knee arthroscopy patients. *Am J Knee Surg.* 1995;8:28–30; discussion 30–21.
- Barber FA. A comparison of crushed ice and continuous flow cold therapy. Am J Knee Surg. 2000;13:97–101; discussion 102.
- Meyer-Marcotty M, Jungling O, Vaske B, et al. Standardized combined cryotherapy and compression using Cryo/Cuff after wrist arthroscopy. *Knee Surg Sports Traumatol Arthrosc.* 2011;19:314–319.
- 153. Schinsky M, McCune C, Bonomi J. Multifaceted comparison of two cryotherapy devices used after total knee arthroplasty: cryotherapy device comparison. *Orthop Nurs.* 2016;35:309–316.

- Schroder D, Passler H. Combination of cold and compression after knee surgery. A prospective randomized study. *Knee Surg Sports Traumatol Arthrosc.* 1994;2:158–165.
- 155. Song M, Sun X, Tian X, et al. Compressive cryotherapy versus cryotherapy alone in patients undergoing knee surgery: a meta-analysis. *Springerplus*. 2016;5:1074.
- 156. Cohn B, Draeger R, Jackson D. The effects of cold therapy in the postoperative management of pain in patients undergoing anterior cruciate ligament reconstruction. *Am J Sports Med.* 1989;17:344–349.
- 157. Healy W, Seidman J, Pfeifer B, et al. Cold compressive dressing after total knee arthroplasty. *Clin Orthop Rel Res.* 1994;299:143–146.
- 158. Konrath GA, Lock T, Goitz HT, et al. The use of cold therapy after anterior cruciate ligament reconstruction. A prospective, randomized study and literature review. *Am J Sports Med.* 1996;24:629–633.
- 159. Woolf S, Barfield W, Merrill K, et al. Comparison of a continuous temperature-controlled cryotherapy device to a simple icing regimen following outpatient knee arthroscopy. J Knee Surg. 2008;21:15–19.
- Bassett F, Kirkpatrick J, Engelhardt D, et al. Cryotherapy-induced nerve injury. Am J Sports Med. 1992;20:516–518.
- Moeller J, Monroe J, McKeag D. Cryotherapy-induced common peroneal Nerve palsy. *Clin J Sport Med.* 1997;7:212–216.
- 162. Kissin I. Long-term opioid treatment of chronic nonmalignant pain: unproven efficacy and neglected safety? *J Pain Res.* 2013;6:513.
- Gimbel J, Ahdieh H. The efficacy and safety of oral immediate-release oxymorphone for postsurgical pain. *Anesth Analg.* 2004;99:1472–1477.
- 164. Iwanicki JL, Severtson SG, McDaniel H, et al. Abuse and diversion of immediate release opioid analgesics as compared to extended release formulations in the United States. *PLoS One.* 2016;11:e0167499.
- 165. Fishbain DA, Cole B, Lewis J, et al. What percentage of chronic nonmalignant pain patients exposed to chronic opioid analgesic therapy develop abuse/addiction and/or aberrant drug-related behaviors? A structured evidence-based review. *Pain Med.* 2008;9:444–459.
- Amabile CM, Bowman BJ. Overview of oral modified-release opioid products for the management of chronic pain. *Ann Pharmacother*. 2006; 40:1327–1335.
- 167. de Beer JdV, Winemaker MJ, Donnelly GA, et al. Efficacy and safety of controlled-release oxycodone and standard therapies for postoperative pain after knee or hip replacement. *Can J Surg.* 2005;48:277.
- 168. Aqua K, Gimbel JS, Singla N, et al. Efficacy and tolerability of oxymorphone immediate release for acute postoperative pain after abdominal surgery: a randomized, double-blind, active-and placebo-controlled, parallel-group trial. *Clin Ther.* 2007;29:1000–1012.
- 169. Hale ME, Fleischmann R, Salzman R, et al. Efficacy and safety of controlled-release versus immediate-release oxycodone: randomized, double-blind evaluation in patients with chronic back pain. *Clin J Pain*. 1999;15:179–183.
- Kaplan R, Parris W, Citron ML, et al. Comparison of controlled-release and immediate-release oxycodone tablets in patients with cancer pain. J Clin Oncol. 1998;16:3230–3237.
- 171. Wen W, Taber L, Lynch S, et al. 12-Month safety and effectiveness of once-daily hydrocodone tablets formulated with abuse-deterrent properties in patients with moderate to severe chronic pain. *J Opioid Manag.* 2015;11:339–356.
- 172. Singla N, Pong A, Newman K, et al. Combination oxycodone 5 mg/ ibuprofen 400 mg for thetreatment of pain after abdominal or pelvic surgery in women: a randomized, double-blind, placebo-and activecontrolled parallel-group study. *Clin Ther.* 2005;27:45–57.
- 173. Devarakonda K, Morton T, Margulis R, et al. Pharmacokinetics and bioavailability of oxycodone and acetaminophen following single-dose administration of MNK-795, a dual-layer biphasic IR/ER combination formulation, under fed and fasted conditions. *Drug Des Devel Ther.* 2014;8:1125.
- 174. Wojahn RD, Bogunovic L, Brophy RH, et al. Opioid consumption after knee arthroscopy. J Bone Joint Surg Am. 2018;100:1629–1636.
- Rodgers J, Cunningham K, Fitzgerald K, et al. Opioid consumption following outpatient upper extremity surgery. *J Hand Surg.* 2012;37: 645–650.
- Shah A, Hayes CJ, Martin BC. Characteristics of initial prescription episodes and likelihood of long-term opioid use—United States, 2006–2015. MMWR Morb Mortal Wkly Rep. 2017;66:265–269.

- Mildh LH, Scheinin H, Kirvelä OA. The concentration-effect relationship of the respiratory depressant effects of alfentanil and fentanyl. *Anesth Analg.* 2001;93:939–946.
- 178. Barrett T, Kostenbader K, Nalamachu S, et al. Safety and tolerability of biphasic immediate-release/extended-release oxycodone/acetaminophen tablets: analysis of 11 clinical trials. *Pain Pract.* 2016;16:856–868.
- Ferrell B, Wisdom C, Wenzl C, et al. Effects of controlled-released morphine on quality of life for cancer pain. *Oncol Nurs Forum.* 1989; 16:521–526.
- Jones JP. United States of America v. The Purdue Frederick Company, Inc., et al. United States District Court for the Western District of Virginia Abingdon Division. 1st ed; 2007:07CR0029.
- 181. Manchikanti L, Manchukonda R, Pampati V, et al. Evaluation of abuse of prescription and illicit drugs in chronic pain patients receiving shortacting (hydrocodone) or long-acting (methadone) opioids. *Pain Physician*. 2005;8:257–261.
- 182. Morton T, Kostenbader K, Montgomery J, et al. Comparison of subjective effects of extended-release versus immediate-release oxycodone/ acetaminophen tablets in healthy nondependent recreational users of prescription opioids: a randomized trial. *Postgrad Med.* 2014;126:20– 32.
- 183. Park TW, Saitz R, Ganoczy D, et al. Benzodiazepine prescribing patterns and deaths from drug overdose among US veterans receiving opioid analgesics: case-cohort study. *BMJ*. 2015;350:h2698.
- Wick EC, Grant MC, Wu CL. Postoperative multimodal analgesia pain management with Nonopioid analgesics and techniques: a review. *JA-MA Surg.* 2017;152:691–697.
- Richman JM, Liu SS, Courpas G, et al. Does continuous peripheral nerve block provide superior pain control to opioids? A meta-analysis. *Anesth Analg.* 2006;102:248–257.
- Rafiq S, Steinbruchel DA, Wanscher MJ, et al. Multimodal analgesia versus traditional opiate based analgesia after cardiac surgery, a randomized controlled trial. *J Cardiothorac Surg.* 2014;9:52.
- 187. Rasmussen ML, Mathiesen O, Dierking G, et al. Multimodal analgesia with gabapentin, ketamine and dexamethasone in combination with paracetamol and ketorolac after hip arthroplasty: a preliminary study. *Eur J Anaesthesiol.* 2010;27:324–330.
- 188. YaDeau JT, Brummett CM, Mayman DJ, et al. Duloxetine and subacute pain after knee arthroplasty when added to a multimodal analgesic regimen: a randomized, placebo-controlled, triple-blinded trial. *Anesthesiology*. 2016;125:561–572.
- Chisholm MF, Cheng J, Fields KG, et al. Perineural dexamethasone with subsartorial saphenous nerve blocks in ACL reconstruction. *Knee* Surg Sports Traumatol Arthrosc. 2017;25:1298–1306.
- 190. Duncan CM, Hall Long K, Warner DO, et al. The economic implications of a multimodal analgesic regimen for patients undergoing major orthopedic surgery: a comparative study of direct costs. *Reg Anesth Pain Med.* 2009;34:301–307.
- 191. Maiese BA, Pham AT, Shah MV, et al. Hospitalization costs for patients undergoing orthopedic surgery treated with intravenous acetaminophen (IV-APAP) plus other IV analgesics or IV opioid monotherapy for postoperative pain. *Adv Ther.* 2017;34:421–435.
- 192. Michelson JD, Addante RA, Charlson MD. Multimodal analgesia therapy reduces length of hospitalization in patients undergoing fusions of the ankle and hindfoot. *Foot Ankle Int.* 2013;34:1526–1534.
- 193. Fredrickson Fanzca MJ, Danesh-Clough TK, White R. Adjuvant dexamethasone for bupivacaine sciatic and ankle blocks: results from 2 randomized placebo-controlled trials. *Reg Anesth Pain Med.* 2013;38: 300–307.
- 194. Mathiesen O, Dahl B, Thomsen BA, et al. A comprehensive multimodal pain treatment reduces opioid consumption after multilevel spine surgery. *Eur Spine J.* 2013;22:2089–2096.
- 195. Kim SI, Ha KY, Oh IS. Preemptive multimodal analgesia for postoperative pain management after lumbar fusion surgery: a randomized controlled trial. *Eur Spine J.* 2016;25:1614–1619.
- 196. Kang H, Ha YC, Kim JY, et al. Effectiveness of multimodal pain management after bipolar hemiarthroplasty for hip fracture: a randomized, controlled study. *J Bone Joint Surg Am.* 2013;95:291–296.
- 197. Koehler D, Marsh JL, Karam M, et al. Efficacy of surgical-site, multimodal drug injection following operative management of femoral fractures: a randomized controlled trial. *J Bone Joint Surg Am.* 2017;99: 512–519.

- 198. Lee SK, Lee JW, Choy WS. Is multimodal analgesia as effective as postoperative patient-controlled analgesia following upper extremity surgery? Orthop Traumatol Surg Res. 2013;99:895–901.
- 199. Zare MA, Ghalyaie AH, Fathi M, et al. Oral oxycodone plus intravenous acetaminophen versus intravenous morphine sulfate in acute bone fracture pain control: a double-blind placebo-controlled randomized clinical trial. *Eur J Orthop Surg Traumatol.* 2014;24:1305–1309.
- Grumbine N, Dobrowolski C, Bernstein A. Retrospective evaluation of postoperative intralesional steroid injections on wound healing. *J Foot Ankle Surg.* 1998;37:135–144.
- De Oliveira GS, Almeida MD, Benzon HT, et al. Perioperative single dose systemic dexamethasone for postoperative PainA meta-analysis of randomized controlled trials. *Anesthesiology*. 2011;115:575–588.
- Vargas JH III, Ross DG. Corticosteroids and anterior cruciate ligament repair. Am J Sports Med. 1989;17:532–534.
- Aasboe V, Raeder JC, Groegaard B. Betamethasone reduces postoperative pain and nausea after ambulatory surgery. *Anesth Analg.* 1998;87: 319–323.
- Glasser RS, Knego RS, Delashaw JB, et al. The perioperative use of corticosteroids and bupivacaine in the management of lumbar disc disease. J Neurosurg. 1993;78:383–387.
- Karst M, Kegel T, Lukas A, et al. Effect of celecoxib and dexamethasone on postoperative pain after lumbar disc surgery. *Neurosurgery*. 2003;53:331–337.
- 206. Waldron N, Jones C, Gan T, et al. Impact of perioperative dexamethasone on postoperative analgesia and side-effects: systematic review and meta-analysis. *Br J Anaesth*. 2012;110:191–200.
- 207. Curda G. Postoperative analgesic effects of dexamethasone sodium phosphate in bunion surgery. *J Foot Surg.* 1983;22:187–191.
- Coluzzi F, Mattia C, Savoia G, et al. Postoperative pain surverys in Italy from 2006 and 2012: (POPSI and POPSI-2). *Eur Rev Med Pharmacol Sci.* 2015;19:4261–4269.
- van Boekel LC, Brouwers EP, van Weeghel J, et al. Stigma among health professionals towards patients with substance use disorders and its consequences for healthcare delivery: systematic review. *Drug Alcohol Depend.* 2013;131:23–35.
- McCaffery M, Grimm MA, Pasero C, et al. On the meaning of "drug seeking". *Pain Manag Nurs.* 2005;6:122–136.
- Compton P, Charuvastra C, Kintaudi K, et al. Pain responses in methadone-maintained opioid abusers. J Pain Symptom Manage. 2000;20:237–245.
- 212. Substance Abuse and Mental Health Services Administration. Results from the 2010 National Survey on Drug Use and Health: Summary of National findings. NSDUH Series H-41.2011. Rockville, MD: US Department of Health and Human Services. 2011.
- 213. Holman JE, Stoddard GJ, Higgins TF. Rates of prescription opiate use before and after injury in patients with orthopaedic trauma and the risk factors for prolonged opiate use. *J Bone Joint Surg Am.* 2013;95:1075– 1080.
- Morris BJ, Zumsteg JW, Archer KR, et al. Narcotic use and postoperative doctor shopping in the orthopaedic trauma population. *J Bone Joint Surg Am.* 2014;96:1257–1262.
- Coluzzi F, Bifulco F, Cuomo A, et al. The challenge of perioperative pain management in opioid-tolerant patients. *Ther Clin Risk Manag.* 2017;13:1163–1173.
- Kantor TG, Cantor R, Tom E. A study of hospitalized surgical patients on methadone maintenance. *Drug Alcohol Depend.* 1980;6:163–173.
- Mao J. Opioid-induced abnormal pain sensitivity: implications in clinical opioid therapy. *Pain*. 2002;100:213–217.
- 218. Chapman RC, Donaldson G, Davis J, et al. Postoperative pain patterns in chronic patin patients: a pilot study. *Pain Med.* 2009;10:481–487.
- Roeckel LA, Le Coz GM, Gaveriauz-Ruff C, et al. Opioid-induced hyperalgesia: cellular and molecular mechanisms. *Neuroscience*. 2016;338:160–182.
- Richebe P, Beaulieu P. Perioperative pain management in the patient treated with opioids: continuing professional development. *Can J Anesthesiol.* 2009;56:969–981.
- 221. Frolich MA, Giannotti A, Modell JH. Opioid overdose in a patient using a fentanyl patch during treatment with a warming blanket. *Anesthesia*. 2001;93:647–648.
- 222. McCance-Katz EF, Sullivan LE, Nallani S. Drug interactions of clinical importance among the opioids, methadone and buprenorphine and other

frequently presecribed medications: a review. Am J Addict. 2010;19:4-16.

- Childers JW, Arnold RM. Treatment of pain in patients taking Buprenorphine for opioid addicition #221. J Palliat Med. 2012;15:613–614.
- Gervirtz C, Frost E, Bryson E. Perioperative implications od Buprenorphine maintenance treatment for opioid addicition. *Anesth Clin.* 2011; 49:147–155.
- Vadivelu N, Mitra S, Kaye AD, et al. Perioperative analgesia and challenges in the drug- addicted and drug-dependent patient. *Best Pract Res Clin Anaesthesiol.* 2014;28:91–101.
- 226. Dean RL, Todtenkopf MS, Deaver DR, et al. Overriding the blockade of antinociceptive actions of opioids in rats treated with extended release naltrexone. *Pharmacol Biochem Behav.* 2008;89:515–522.
- Borgeat A, Ofner C, Saporito A, et al. The effect of nonsteroidal antiinflammatory drugs on bone healing in humans: a qualitative, systematic review. J Clin Anesth. 2018;49:92–100.
- Dodwell ER, Latorre JG, Parisini E, et al. NSAID exposure and risk of nonunion: a meta-analysis of case-control and cohort studies. *Calcif Tissue Int.* 2010;87:193–202.
- 229. Kurmis AP, Kurmis TP, O'Brien JX, et al. The effect of nonsteroidal anti-inflammatory drug administration on acute phase fracture-healing: a review. *J Bone Joint Surg Am.* 2012;94:815–823.
- Geusens P, Emans PJ, de Jong JJ, et al. NSAIDs and fracture healing. Curr Opin Rheumatol. 2013;25:524–531.
- Dahners LE, Mullis BH. Effects of nonsteroidal anti-inflammatory drugs on bone formation and soft-tissue healing. J Am Acad Orthop Surg. 2004;12:139–143.
- Murnaghan M, Li G, Marsh DR. Nonsteroidal anti-inflammatory druginduced fracture nonunion: an inhibition of angiogenesis? *J Bone Joint Surg Am.* 2006;88(suppl 3):140–147.
- Brown KM, Saunders MM, Kirsch T, et al. Effect of COX-2-specific inhibition on fracture-healing in the rat femur. J Bone Joint Surg Am. 2004;86-A:116–123.
- Giannoudis PV, MacDonald DA, Matthews SJ, et al. Nonunion of the femoral diaphysis. The influence of reaming and non-steroidal antiinflammatory drugs. J Bone Joint Surg Br. 2000;82:655–658.
- Bhattacharyya T, Levin R, Vrahas MS, et al. Nonsteroidal antiinflammatory drugs and nonunion of humeral shaft fractures. *Arthritis Rheum*. 2005;53:364–367.
- Jeffcoach DR, Sams VG, Lawson CM, et al. Nonsteroidal antiinflammatory drugs' impact on nonunion and infection rates in longbone fractures. *J Trauma Acute Care Surg.* 2014;76:779–783.
- 237. Sagi HC, Jordan CJ, Barei DP, et al. Indomethacin prophylaxis for heterotopic ossification after acetabular fracture surgery increases the risk for nonunion of the posterior wall. *J Orthop Trauma*. 2014;28:377– 383.
- Marquez-Lara A, Hutchinson ID, Nunez F Jr, et al. Nonsteroidal antiinflammatory drugs and bone-healing: a systematic review of research quality. *JBJS Rev.* 2016;4. doi: 10.2106/JBJS.RVW.O.00055.
- Perez-Castrillon JL, Olmos JM, Gomez JJ, et al. Expression of opioid receptors in osteoblast-like MG-63 cells, and effects of different opioid agonists on alkaline phosphatase and osteocalcin secretion by these cells. *Neuroendocrinology*. 2000;72:187–194.
- Chrastil J, Sampson C, Jones KB, et al. Postoperative opioid administration inhibits bone healing in an animal model. *Clin Orthop Relat Res.* 2013;471:4076–4081.
- Smith HS, Elliott JA. Opioid-induced androgen deficiency (OPIAD). Pain Physician. 2012;15:ES145–156.
- 242. Brinker MR, O'Connor DP, Monla YT, et al. Metabolic and endocrine abnormalities in patients with nonunions. *J Orthop Trauma*. 2007;21: 557–570.
- 243. Chrastil J, Sampson C, Jones KB, et al. Evaluating the affect and reversibility of opioid-induced androgen deficiency in an orthopaedic animal fracture model. *Clin Orthop Relat Res.* 2014;472:1964–1971.
- Poonai N, Bhullar G, Lin K, et al. Oral administration of morphine versus ibuprofen to manage postfracture pain in children: a randomized trial. *CMAJ*. 2014;186:1358–1363.
- 245. Beaudoin FL, Gutman R, Merchant RC, et al. Persistent pain after motor vehicle collision: comparative effectiveness of opioids vs nonsteroidal antiinflammatory drugs prescribed from the emergency department-a propensity matched analysis. *Pain.* 2017;158:289–295.

- 246. Pollack CV Jr, Diercks DB, Thomas SH, et al. Patient-reported outcomes from A National, prospective, observational study of emergency department acute pain management with an intranasal Nonsteroidal anti-inflammatory drug, opioids, or both. *Acad Emerg Med.* 2016;23: 331–341.
- 247. Ong CK, Seymour RA, Lirk P, et al. Combining paracetamol (acetaminophen) with nonsteroidal antiinflammatory drugs: a qualitative systematic review of analgesic efficacy for acute postoperative pain. *Anesth Analg.* 2010;110:1170–1179.
- Beaudoin FL, Haran JP, Liebmann O. A comparison of ultrasoundguided three-in-one femoral nerve block versus parenteral opioids alone for analgesia in emergency department patients with hip fractures: a randomized controlled trial. *Acad Emerg Med.* 2013;20:584–591.
- Beaudoin FL, Nagdev A, Merchant RC, et al. Ultrasound-guided femoral nerve blocks in elderly patients with hip fractures. *Am J Emerg Med.* 2010;28:76–81.
- 250. Brisbane Orthopaedic & Sports Medicine Centre Writing Committee, McMeniman TJ, McMeniman PJ, et al. Femoral nerve block vs fascia iliaca block for total knee arthroplasty postoperative pain control: a prospective, randomized controlled trial. J Arthroplasty. 2010;25:1246– 1249.
- 251. Fletcher AK, Rigby AS, Heyes FL. Three-in-one femoral nerve block as analgesia for fractured neck of femur in the emergency department: a randomized, controlled trial. *Ann Emerg Med.* 2003;41:227–233.
- 252. Foss NB, Kristensen BB, Bundgaard M, et al. Fascia iliaca compartment blockade for acute pain control in hip fracture patients: a randomized, placebo-controlled trial. *Anesthesiology*. 2007;106:773–778.
- 253. Godoy-Monzon D, Vazquez J, Jauregui JR, et al. Pain treatment in posttraumatic hip fracture in the elderly: regional block vs. systemic nonsteroidal analgesics. *Int J Emerg Med.* 2010;3:321–325.
- 254. Haddad FS, Williams RL. Femoral nerve block in extracapsular femoral neck fractures. *J Bone Joint Surg Br.* 1995;77:922–923.
- 255. Haines L, Dickman E, Ayvazyan S, et al. Ultrasound-guided fascia iliaca compartment block for hip fractures in the emergency department. *J Emerg Med.* 2012;43:692–697.
- 256. Morrison RS, Dickman E, Hwang U, et al. Regional Nerve blocks improve pain and functional outcomes in hip fracture: a randomized controlled trial. *J Am Geriatr Soc.* 2016;64:2433–2439.
- 257. Mouzopoulos G, Vasiliadis G, Lasanianos N, et al. Fascia iliaca block prophylaxis for hip fracture patients at risk for delirium: a randomized placebo-controlled study. *J Orthop Traumatol.* 2009;10:127–133.
- 258. Yun MJ, Kim YH, Han MK, et al. Analgesia before a spinal block for femoral neck fracture: fascia iliaca compartment block. *Acta Anaesthesiol Scand.* 2009;53:1282–1287.
- 259. Beaupre LA, Johnston DB, Dieleman S, et al. Impact of a preemptive multimodal analgesia plus femoral nerve blockade protocol on rehabilitation, hospital length of stay, and postoperative analgesia after primary total knee arthroplasty: a controlled clinical pilot study. *ScientificWorld-Journal*. 2012;2012:273821.
- 260. YaDeau JT, Cahill JB, Zawadsky MW, et al. The effects of femoral nerve blockade in conjunction with epidural analgesia after total knee arthroplasty. *Anesth Analg.* 2005;101:891–895, table of contents.
- 261. Divella M, Cecconi M, Fasano N, et al. Pain relief after total hip replacement: oral CR oxycodone plus IV paracetamol versus epidural levobupivacaine and sufentanil. A randomized controlled trial. *Minerva Anesthesiol.* 2012;78:534–541.
- 262. Harsten A, Hjartarson H, Werner MU, et al. General anaesthesia with multimodal principles versus intrathecal analgesia with conventional principles in total knee arthroplasty: a consecutive, randomized study. *J Clin Med Res.* 2013;5:42–48.
- Lamplot JD, Wagner ER, Manning DW. Multimodal pain management in total knee arthroplasty: a prospective randomized controlled trial. J Arthroplasty. 2014;29:329–334.
- Moucha CS, Weiser MC, Levin EJ. Current strategies in anesthesia and analgesia for total knee arthroplasty. *J Am Acad Orthop Surg.* 2016;24: 60–73.
- 265. Amundson AW, Johnson RL, Abdel MP, et al. A three-arm randomized clinical trial comparing continuous femoral plus single-injection sciatic peripheral nerve blocks versus periarticular injection with ropivacaine or liposomal bupivacaine for patients undergoing total knee arthroplasty. *Anesthesiology*. 2017;126:1139–1150.

- 266. Ng FY, Ng JK, Chiu KY, et al. Multimodal periarticular injection vs continuous femoral nerve block after total knee arthroplasty: a prospective, crossover, randomized clinical trial. *J Arthroplasty*. 2012;27:1234– 1238.
- 267. Jules-Elysee KM, Goon AK, Westrich GH, et al. Patient-controlled epidural analgesia or multimodal pain regimen with periarticular injection after total hip arthroplasty: a randomized, double-blind, placebocontrolled study. J Bone Joint Surg Am. 2015;97:789–798.
- Barrington JW, Emerson RH, Lovald ST, et al. No difference in early analgesia between liposomal bupivacaine injection and intrathecal morphine after TKA. *Clin Orthop Relat Res.* 2017;475:94–105.
- 269. Kelley TC, Adams MJ, Mulliken BD, et al. Efficacy of multimodal perioperative analgesia protocol with periarticular medication injection in total knee arthroplasty: a randomized, double-blinded study. J Arthroplasty. 2013;28:1274–1277.
- Xing JG, Abdallah FW, Brull R, et al. Preoperative femoral nerve block for hip arthroscopy: a randomized, triple-masked controlled trial. *Am J Sports Med.* 2015;43:2680–2687.
- 271. Luiten WE, Schepers T, Luitse JS, et al. Comparison of continuous nerve block versus patient-controlled analgesia for postoperative pain and outcome after talar and calcaneal fractures. *Foot Ankle Int.* 2014;35: 1116–1121.
- 272. Schipper ON, Hunt KJ, Anderson RB, et al. Ankle block vs single-shot popliteal Fossa block as primary anesthesia for forefoot operative procedures: prospective, randomized comparison. *Foot Ankle Int.* 2017;38: 1188–1191.
- 273. Galos DK, Taormina DP, Crespo A, et al. Does brachial plexus blockade result in improved pain scores after distal radius fracture fixation? A randomized trial. *Clin Orthop Relat Res.* 2016;474:1247–1254.
- 274. Goldstein RY, Montero N, Jain SK, et al. Efficacy of popliteal block in postoperative pain control after ankle fracture fixation: a prospective randomized study. *J Orthop Trauma*. 2012;26:557–561.
- 275. Ding DY, Manoli A III, Galos DK, et al. Continuous popliteal sciatic nerve block versus single injection nerve block for ankle fracture surgery: a prospective randomized comparative trial. *J Orthop Trauma*. 2015;29:393–398.
- 276. Hunt KJ, Higgins TF, Carlston CV, et al. Continuous peripheral nerve blockade as postoperative analgesia for open treatment of calcaneal fractures. *J Orthop Trauma*. 2010;24:148–155.
- 277. The Joint Commission. New and revised pain assessment and management standards. *Perspect (Montclair)*. 2018;38:17–18.
- 278. The Joint Commission. Safe use of opioids in hospitals. *Sentinel Event Alert.* 2012;49:1–5.
- Cashman J, Dolin S. Respiratory and haemodynamic effects of acute postoperative pain management: evidence from published data. *Br J Anaesth.* 2004;93:212–223.
- Lee L, Caplan R, Stephens L, et al. Postoperative opioid-induced respiratory depression: a closed claims analysis. *Pain Med.* 2015;122:659– 665.
- Jungquist CR, Willens JS, Dunwoody DR, et al. Monitoring for opioidinduced advancing sedation and respiratory depression: ASPMN membership survey of current practice. *Pain Manag Nurs.* 2014;15:682–693.
- Pasero C. The perianesthesia nurse's role in the prevention of opioidrelated sentinel events. J Perianesth Nurs. 2013;28:31–37.
- Pasero C. Assessment of sedation during opioid administration for pain management. J Perianesth Nurs. 2009;24:186–190.
- Nisbet AT, Mooney-Cotter F. Comparison of selected sedation scales for reporting opioid-induced sedation assessment. *Pain Manag Nurs.* 2009;10:154–164.
- Coffin P, Sullivan S. Cost-effectiveness of distributing naloxone to heroin users for lay overdose reversal. Ann Intern Med. 2013;158:1–9.
- Rowe C, Santos GM, Vittinghoff E, et al. Predictors of participant engagement and naloxone utilization in a community-based naloxone distribution program. *Addiction*. 2015;110:1301–1310.
- 287. Walley A, Xuan Z, Hackman H, et al. Opioid overdose rates and implementation of overdose education and nasal naloxone distribution in Massachusetts: interrupted time series analysis. *BMJ*. 2013;346:F174.
- Clark A, Wilder C, Winstanley E. A systematic review of community opioid OverdosePrevention and Naloxone distribution programs. J Addict Med. 2014;8:153–163.

- Finley EP, Garcia A, Rosen K, et al. Evaluating the impact of prescription drug monitoring program implementation: a scoping review. *BMC Health Serv Res.* 2017;17:420.
- 290. Gugelmann H, Perrone J, Nelson L. Windmills and pill mills: can PDMPs tilt the prescription drug epidemic? J Med Toxicol. 2012;8: 378–386.
- Worley J. Prescription drug monitoring programs, a response to doctor shopping: purpose, effectiveness, and directions for future research. *Issues Ment Health Nurs.* 2012;33:319–328.
- 292. Haegerich T, Paulozzi L, Manns B, et al. What we know, and don't know, about the impact of state policy and systems-level interventions on prescription drug overdose. *Drug Alcohol Depend.* 2014;145:34–47.
- 293. Delcher C, Wagenaar AC, Goldberger BA, et al. Abrupt decline in oxycodone-caused mortality after implementation of Florida's prescription drug monitoring program. *Drug Alcohol Depend.* 2015;150:63–68.
- Nam Y, Shea D, Shi Y, et al. State prescription drug monitoring programs and fatal drug overdoses. *Am J Manag Care*. 2017;23:297–303.
- Brown R, Riley MR, Ulrich L, et al. Impact of New York prescription drug monitoring program, I-STOP, on statewide overdose morbidity. *Drug Alcohol Depend.* 2017;178:348–354.
- 296. McAllister MW, Aaronson P, Spillane J, et al. Impact of prescription drug-monitoring program on controlled substance prescribing in the ED. Am J Emerg Med. 2015;33:781–785.
- 297. Lin HC, Wang Z, Boyd C, et al. Associations between statewide prescription drug monitoring program (PDMP) requirement and physician patterns of prescribing opioid analgesics for patients with non-cancer chronic pain. *Addict Behav.* 2018;76:348–354.
- Deyo RA, Hallvik SE, Hildebran C, et al. Association of prescription drug monitoring program use with opioid prescribing and health outcomes: a comparison of program users and Non-users. *J Pain.* 2017;19: 166–177.
- Wen H, Schackman BR, Aden B, et al. States with prescription drug monitoring mandates saw a reduction in opioids prescribed to Medicaid enrollees. *Health Aff (Millwood)*. 2017;36:733–741.
- Moyo P, Simoni-Wastila L, Griffin BA, et al. Impact of prescription drug monitoring programs (PDMPs) on opioid utilization among Medicare beneficiaries in 10 US States. *Addiction*. 2017;112:1784–1796.
- 301. Young LD, Kreiner PW, Panas L. Unsolicited reporting to prescribers of opioid analgesics by a state prescription drug monitoring program: an observational study with matched comparison group. *Pain Med.* 2017; 19:1396–1407.
- Yarbrough CR. Prescription drug monitoring programs produce a limited impact on painkiller prescribing in Medicare Part D. *Health Serv Res.* 2017;53:671–689.
- Pardo B. Do more robust prescription drug monitoring programs reduce prescription opioid overdose? *Addiction*. 2017;112:1773–1783.
- Yanni LM, McKinney-Ketchum JL, Harrington SB, et al. Preparation, confidence, and attitudes about chronic noncancer pain in graduate medical education. J Grad Med Educ. 2010;2:260–268.
- Mezei L, Murinson BB; Johns Hopkins Pain Curriculum Development T. Pain education in North American medical schools. *J Pain.* 2011;12: 1199–1208.
- Davis C, Carr D. Physician continuing education to reduce opioid misuse, abuse, and overdose: many opportunities, few requirements. *Drug Alcohol Depend.* 2016;163:100–107.
- 307. Cervero R, Gaines J. The impact of CME on physician performance and patient health outcomes: an updated synthesis of systematic reviews. J Contin Educ Health Prof. 2015;35:131–138.
- Katzman J, Comerci G, Landen MG, et al. Rules and values: a coordinated regulatory and educational approach to the public health crises of chronic pain and addiction. *Am J Public Health.* 2014;104:1356–1362.
- Trudeau KJ, Hildebrand C, Garg P, et al. A randomized controlled trial of the effects of online pain management education on primary care providers. *Pain Med.* 2017;18:680–692.
- Behar E, Rowe C, Santos G, et al. Academic detailing pilot for Naloxone prescribing among primary care providers in san Francisco. *Fam Med.* 2017;49:122–126.
- Kattan J, Tuazon E, Paone D, et al. Public health detailing-A successful strategy to promote judicious opioid analgesic prescribing. *Am J Public Health.* 2016;106:1430–1438.

- Donaldson SR, Harding AM, Taylor SE, et al. Evaluation of a targeted prescriber education intervention on emergency department discharge oxycodone prescribing. *Emerg Med Aust.* 2017;29:400–406.
- Hill M, Stucke R, McMahon M, et al. An educational intervention decreases opioid prescribing after general surgical operations. *Ann Surg.* 2017;267:468–472.
- Osborn S, Yu J, Williams B, et al. Changes in provider prescribing patterns after implementation of an emergency department prescription opioid policy. *J Emerg Med.* 2017;52:538–546.
- Zisblatt L, Hayes SM, Lazure P, et al. Safe and competent opioid prescribing education: increasing dissemination with a train-the-trainer program. Subst Abus. 2017;38:168–176.
- Brooks M. Mitigating the safety risks of drugs with a focus on opioids: are risk evaluation and mitigation strategies the answer? *Mayo Clin Proc.* 2014;89:1673–1684.
- 317. Gottlieb S. FDA Takes Important Steps to Stem the Tide of Opioid Misuse and Abuse. U.S. Food and Drug Administration; 2017. Available at: https://www.fda.gov/NewsEvents/Newsroom/FDAVoices/ucm612011. htm. Accessed September 28, 2017.
- Alford D, Zisblatt L, Ng P, et al. SCOPE of pain: an evaluation of an opioid risk evaluation and mitigation strategy continuing education program. *Pain Med.* 2015;17:52–63.
- 319. Davis D. CME and the pharmaceutical industry: two worlds, three views, four steps. *CMAJ*. 2004;171:149–150.
- 320. de la Cruz M, Reddy A, Balankari V, et al. The impact of an educational program on patient practices for safe use, storage, and disposal of opioids at a comprehensive cancer center. *Oncologist.* 2017;22:115– 121.
- 321. Rose P, Sakai J, Argue R, et al. Opioid information pamphlet increases postoperative opioid disposal rates: a before versus after quality improvement study. *Can J Anesth.* 2016;63:31–37.
- 322. McCarthy DM, Wolf MS, McConnell R, et al. Improving patient knowledge and safe use of opioids: a randomized controlled trial. Acad Emerg Med. 2015;22:331–339.
- McCauley JL, Back SE, Brady KT. Pilot of a brief, web-based educational intervention targeting safe storage and disposal of prescription opioids. *Addict Behav.* 2013;38:2230–2235.
- 324. Hero JO, McMurtry C, Benson J, et al. Discussing opioid risks with patients to reduce misuse and abuse: evidence from 2 surveys. *Ann Fam Med.* 2016;14:575–577.
- 325. Waszak D, Mitchell A, Ren D, et al. A quality improvement project to improve education provided by nurses to ED patients prescribed opioid analgesics at discharge. *J Emerg Nurs.* 2017;44:336–344.
- 326. Rathlev N, Almomen R, Deutsch A, et al. Randomized controlled trial of electronic care plan alerts and resource utilization by high frequency emergency department users with opioid use disorder. *West J Emerg Med.* 2016;17:28–34.
- 327. Butler SF, Zacharoff KL, Charity S, et al. Impact of an electronic pain and opioid risk assessment program: are there improvements in patient encounters and clinic Notes? *Pain Med.* 2016;17:2047–2060.
- Gugelmann H, Shofer FS, Meisel ZF, et al. Multidisciplinary intervention decreases the use of opioid medication discharge packs from 2 urban EDs. *Am J Emerg Med.* 2013;31:1343–1348.
- 329. Johnson JA, Woychek A, Vaughan D, et al. Screening for at-risk alcohol use and drug use in an emergency department: integration of screening questions into electronic triage forms achieves high screening rates. *Ann Emerg Med.* 2013;62:262–266.
- 330. Kahler ZP, Musey PI, Schaffer JT, et al. Effect of a "No superuser opioid prescription" policy on ED visits and statewide opioid prescription. West J Emerg Med. 2017;18:894–902.
- Zwank MD, Kennedy SM, Stuck LH, et al. Removing default dispense quantity from opioid prescriptions in the electronic medical record. *Am J Emerg Med.* 2017;35:1567–1569.

- Anderson D, Zlateva I, Khatri K, et al. Using health information technology to improve adherence to opioid prescribing guidelines in primary care. *Clin J Pain*. 2015;31:573–579.
- 333. Butler SF, Zacharoff K, Charity S, et al. Electronic opioid risk assessment program for chronic pain patients: barriers and benefits of implementation. *Pain Pract.* 2014;14:E98–e105.
- Canada R, DiRocco D, Day S. A better approach to opioid prescribing in primary care. J Fam Pract. 2014;63:E1–E8.
- 335. Stanek J, Renslow M, Kalliainen L. The effect of an educational program on opioid prescription patterns in hand surgery: a quality improvement program. J Hand Surg Am. 2015;40:341–346.
- Prabhu M, McQuaid-Hanson E, Hopp S, et al. A shared decisionmaking intervention to guide opioid prescribing after cesarean delivery. *Obstet Gynecol.* 2017;130:42–46.
- 337. Mack K, Jones C, Ballesteros M. Illicit drug use, illicit drug use disorders, and drug overdose deaths in metropolitan and nonmetropolitan areas—United States. *MMWR Surveill Summ.* 2017;66:1–12.
- Lin LA, Bohnert ASB, Kerns RD, et al. Impact of the opioid safety initiative on opioid-related prescribing in veterans. *Pain*. 2017;158:833– 839.
- Losby J, Hyatt J, Kanter M, et al. Safer and more appropriate opioid prescribing: a large healthcare system's comprehensive approach. J Eval Clin Pract. 2017;6:1–7.
- Akce M, Suneja A, Genord C, et al. A multifactorial intervention for hospital opioid management. J Opioid Manag. 2014;10:337–344.
- Koopman R, Kochendorfer K, Moore J, et al. A diabetes dashboard and physician efficiency and accuracy in accessing data needed for highquality diabetes care. *Ann Fam Med.* 2011;9:398–405.
- 342. Weiner J, Balijepally V, Tanniru M. Integrating strategic and operational decision making using data-driven dashboards: the case of St. Joseph mercy oakland hospital. *J Healthc Manag.* 2015;60:319–330.
- Webster L, Webster R. Predicting aberrant behaviors in opioid-treated patients: preliminary validation of the opioid risk tool. *Pain Med.* 2005; 6:432–442.
- Butler SF, Budman S, Fernandez K, et al. Cross-validation of a screener to predict opioid misuse in chronic pain patients (SOAPP-R). J Addict Med. 2009;3:66–73.
- 345. Yudko E, Lozhkina O, Fouts A. A comprehensive review of the psychometric properties of the Drug Abuse Screening Test. J Subst Abuse Treat. 2007;32:189–198.
- Jones T, Lookatch S, Grant P, et al. Further validation of an opioid risk assessment tool: the Brief Risk Interview. J Opioid Manag. 2014;10: 353–364.
- 347. Butler SF, Budman S, Fernandez K, et al. Development and validation of the current opioid misuse measure. *Pain*. 2007;130:144–156.
- Dowell D, Zhang K, Noonan RK, et al. Mandatory provider review and pain clinic laws reduce the amounts of opioids prescribed and overdose death rates. *Health Aff (Millwood)*. 2016;35:1876–1883.
- Ammenwerth E, Schnell-Inderst P, Machan C, et al. The effect of electronic prescribing on medication errors and adverse drug events: a systematic review. J Am Med Inf Assoc. 2008;15:585–600.
- 350. Nuckols T, Smith-Spangler C, Morton S, et al. The effectiveness of computerized order entry at reducing preventable adverse drug events and medication errors in hospital settings: a systematic review and meta-analysis. *Syst Rev.* 2014;3:56.
- 351. Kaushal R, Shojania K, Bates D. Effects of computerized physician order entry and clinical decision support systems on medication safety: a systematic review. *Arch Intern Med.* 2003;263:1409–1416.
- 352. Genco EK, Forster JE, Flaten H, et al. Clinically inconsequential alerts: the characteristics of opioid drug alerts and their utility in preventing adverse drug events in the emergency department. *Ann Emerg Med.* 2016;67:240–248. e243.

APPENDIX 1. Members of the Orthopaedic Trauma Association Musculoskeletal Pain Task Force

Kristin R. Archer, PhD, DPT: Department of Physical Medicine and Rehabilitation, Vanderbilt University Medical Center, Nashville, TN. Basem Attum, MD: Department of Orthopaedic Surgery, University of Louisville School of Medicine, Louisville, KY. Chad Coles, MD: Department of Orthopaedic Surgery, Dalhousie University School of Medicine, Halifax, Nova Scotia, Canada. Jarrod Dumpe, MD: Department of Orthopaedic Surgery, Navicent Health, Macon, GA. Edward Harvey, MD: Division of Orthopaedic Surgery, McGill University Health Centre, Montreal, QC, Canada. Thomas Higgins, MD: Department of Orthopaedic Surgery, University of Utah, Salt Lake City, UT. Joseph Hoegler, MD: Department of Orthopaedic Surgery, Henry Ford Hospital; Detroit, MI. Jane Z. Liu, MD: Department of Orthopaedic Surgery, Case Western Reserve University, Cleveland, OH. Jason Lowe, MD: Department of

Orthopaedics, Banner Health University of Arizona, Tucson, AZ. Christiaan Mamczak, DO: Orthopaedics and Sports Specialists, Beacon Health System; South Bend, IN. J. Lawrence Marsh, MD: Department of Orthopaedics and Rehabilitation, University of Iowa Health Care, Iowa City, IA. Anna N. Miller, MD: Division of Orthopaedic Trauma, Washington University Orthopaedics, St. Louis, MO. William Obremskey, MD: Orthopaedic Surgery and Rehabilitation, Vanderbilt University Medical Center, Nashville, TN. Michael Ransone, MD: Department of Orthopaedic Surgery, Carolinas Medical Center, Charlotte, NC. William Ricci, MD: Orthopaedic Trauma Service, Hospital For Special Surgery, New York City, NY, David Ring, MD: Institute of Reconstructive Plastic Surgery of Central Texas, Austin, TX. Babar Shafiq, MD: Department of Orthopaedic Surgery, Johns Hopkins School of Medicine, Baltimore, MD.